Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement for Concrete Members

ACI Committee 440 is taking the next step toward building code compliance

by Mahmut Ekenel, Francisco De Caso y Basalo, and Antonio Nanni

Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) offers new capabilities for structural and nonstructural applications in building construction. FRP bars and, more recently, meshes (Fig. 1 and 2) for use as concrete reinforcement have gained popularity by offering some distinct advantages such as resistance to corrosion, high stiffness-to-weight ratio, and relatively lower labor and handling costs. FRP bars have been successfully used as structural reinforcement in concrete members in building and bridge projects (for example, slabs and beams) for the past three decades. Figure 3 shows progress on the construction of a residential home in Great Harbour, Berry Island, Bahamas, where glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) reinforcement was used for all structural concrete elements, including the masonry walls. Figure 4 shows the use of GFRP straight and bent bars for the construction of the bent caps in a bridge replacement project at the 23rd Avenue over Ibis Waterway, Broward County, FL, USA.

Recently, there has also been interest in using FRP bars and meshes as secondary reinforcement for concrete members such as plain concrete footings, slabs-on-ground, and plain concrete walls in lieu of conventional temperature and shrinkage steel reinforcement. Use of basalt fiber-reinforced polymer (BFRP) mesh as secondary reinforcement is shown in Fig. 5. BFRP mesh was used for this project at Florida Keys Marathon International Airport in Marathon, FL. The mesh comprised 3.6 mm (0.14 in.) diameter wire fabricated in a 100 x 100 mm (4 x 4 in.) orthogonal grid. The floor slab constructed on an existing concrete slab (with cracks and gaps) is used for light aircraft hangar. The slab thickness varies from 100 to 150 mm (4 to 6 in.).

The evaluation of FRP bars and meshes used as primary or secondary concrete reinforcement in compliance with the legally adopted building codes in the United States is the topic of this article.
Building Codes in the United States

In the United States, where the power to regulate construction is vested in local authorities, a system of model building codes is used. The International Building Code (IBC) and the International Residential Code (IRC) are the two model codes that have been developed to establish the minimum requirements to safeguard the public health and safety. In general, IBC and IRC address structural strength, means of egress, sanitation, adequate lighting and ventilation, accessibility, energy conservation, and life safety regarding new and existing buildings, facilities, and systems. Currently, IBC has been adopted throughout the entire country, as well as the U.S. territories, while IRC has also been adopted by most of the states.

Engineers and architects are usually guided by national and local building codes that are based on the model codes. These model codes become especially important when compliance with the legally adopted building code is mandated by a jurisdiction having the authority to approve construction projects. Compliance can be readily achieved when a design incorporates materials or assemblies covered in the IBC or IRC. However, when a design incorporates materials or assemblies that are not specifically covered in the IBC and IRC, building code compliance may need to be demonstrated. Section 104.11 of IBC provisions allows an alternative material, design, or method of construction to be approved, where the building official finds that the proposed design is satisfactory and complies with the intent of the provisions of this code, provided the material and method under evaluation is, for the purpose intended, at least the equivalent of that prescribed in quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance, durability, and safety. Subsection 104.11.1 of IBC, which refers to research reports, allows such reports to be issued by approved sources where necessary to assist in the approval of materials or assemblies not specifically covered.

The more permanent option would be to revise IBC and IRC to allow alternative materials or assemblies, such as FRP bars and meshes to be used as structural and secondary reinforcement; however, such revisions must go through the lengthy, public comment and approval process of the

Fig. 3: A coastal residence under construction. The concrete slabs, concrete columns, and masonry walls included FRP reinforcement

Fig. 4: A coastal bridge under construction. The piers and bent cap were reinforced with FRP reinforcing bars supplied in both straight and pre-bent forms

Fig. 5: FRP meshes can be used as secondary reinforcement in slabs-on-ground
International Code Council (ICC). To this end, ACI Committee 440, Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement, has commenced the development of a mandatory language design code governing the use of FRP reinforcement. This code will be dependent on the ACI 318 Code2 and designed to be readily adopted by reference into the model and local building codes.

Creating a code compliance in accordance with Section 104.11 of IBC is the preferred method. This is typically accomplished through product testing in accordance with an Acceptance Criteria (AC), which defines product sampling, testing, and quality requirements to be fulfilled to obtain code-compliance verification. The results of these requirements are summarized in a research report made available to code officials, as set forth in Section 104.11.1 of IBC. The research reports are typically issued by certification bodies that are accredited as complying with ISO/IEC 17065.3 All testing must be conducted by a laboratory that complies with ISO/IEC 17025.4 The certification body (evaluation agency) requires accreditation by a recognized accreditation body, which directly verifies the competence of a laboratory by visiting the facility and observing its personnel during testing. The accreditation body must also determine whether the laboratory has a robust quality system to assure accuracy of reported results and have means to investigate and make corrections when reports are questioned.

To date, IBC and IRC do not include provisions for use of FRP bars and meshes as replacement of steel reinforcement. Chapter 19 of the IBC refers to ACI 318 for design of reinforced concrete buildings; similarly, ACI 318 also does not address use of FRP bars and meshes as replacement for steel reinforcement. Therefore, AC for use of FRP as reinforcement of concrete, AC4545 and AC5216, have been developed by ICC-Evaluation Service (ICC-ES) under Section 104.11 of IBC and Section R104.11 of IRC.7

Acceptance Criteria for Building Code Compliance

Development of an AC usually starts with an application from an interested party who oversees the invention or production of an alternative construction product, system, or technology. After review of the IBC and IRC to confirm that the proposed alternative is not within the provisions of IBC or IRC, an AC is drafted with the help of producers, academics, and other interested parties. The draft AC is then shared with the public, through an open, online web posting, to solicit...
... comments. Public comments are collected, a response letter by the proponents of the proposed criteria is prepared and shared publicly, and further revisions are implemented if necessary. As a final step, open public hearings are held, with selected independent code officials acting as an evaluation committee that listens to the concerns of the public and the responses of the AC proponents and poses their own questions and comments. The evaluation committee then votes on the proposed AC. A simple majority is required for an AC to be accepted and issued. Because the use of FRP bars and meshes as primary or secondary reinforcement is not within the current code provisions, AC454 and AC521 have been developed under Section 104.11 of IBC and Section R104.11 of IRC, with final approval dates of October 2020 and December 2020, respectively.

AC454 applies to GFRP or BFRP bars, in cut lengths, bent shapes, and continuous closed stirrups and ties (hoops), that are used to reinforce concrete structural members. The AC requires evaluation of physical and mechanical properties, performance under accelerated environmental exposures, performance under exposure to fire conditions, and structural design procedures. AC454 is applicable to FRP bars that are solid and have circular or noncircular cross sections, or hollow and have circular cross sections. Bars meeting AC454 are used as reinforcement in structural concrete members such as columns, beams, walls, shallow foundations, and one-way or two-way slabs, and as shear reinforcement for flexural members. Under AC454, FRP bars are limited to structures constructed in Seismic Design Category A or B using normalweight concrete. AC454 references include ASTM D7957/D7957M-17a for most of the required testing and ACI 440.1R-15a for design provisions. However, AC454 also describes full-scale structural tests for members reinforced with noncircular solid FRP bars or circular hollow FRP bars.

AC521 applies to glass or basalt FRP bars in cut lengths or meshes produced with solid wires with continuous, uninterrupted circular cross sections. Items evaluated under AC521 include physical and mechanical properties. FRP bars and meshes evaluated under the AC521 are used as alternatives to the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement specified in Section 24.4 of ACI 318-19 for plain concrete footings and for plain concrete slabs-on-ground (as defined by ACI 360R-10b). However, this AC does not eliminate the requirement for joints specified in Section 14.3.4 of ACI 318-19 (and thus IBC and IRC). FRP bars and meshes under this AC are also used as an alternative to horizontal temperature and shrinkage reinforcement in structural plain concrete walls covered in IBC Section 1906, IRC Sections R404.1.3 and R608.1, and ACI 332-14, Sections 8.2.1 and 8.2.7,11 excluding walls where vertical reinforcement is required. AC521 also provides provisions for shrinkage cracking testing (Fig. 6). The purpose of the shrinkage cracking test is to demonstrate equivalency between a given FRP bar or mesh configuration (that is, FRP cross section size and spacing) and a selected steel reinforcement configuration, in terms of control of shrinkage cracking performance. The intent is to allow the contractor to obtain the building official’s approval for the use of an FRP solution as an alternative to a steel solution, without the need for additional testing or engineering calculations.

Besides testing in accordance with the requirements of acceptance criteria, an equally important aspect of product evaluation is the requirement for documentation of quality control measures during the manufacture of the materials. The measures are intended to verify that the produced materials will match the performance as previously demonstrated by testing. As a means of verification, the quality system needs to be inspected by an accredited inspection agency. The inspection agency must be independent and conform to requirements stipulated in ISO/IEC 17020,12 as determined by a recognized accreditation body. The evaluation agency is charged with requiring that the inspection agency inspect each manufacturing location regularly, and not less than once per year, to provide assurance that the FRP materials are produced and conform to critical performance and measurements set forth in quality documentation.

**Summary**

ACI Committee 440 is progressing with the development of an ACI 318-dependent, mandatory language design code governing the use of FRP reinforcement. The committee expects the document to be completed by 2022. Once this code is published by ACI, it will be submitted for public review through the ICC process so it can be adopted into IBC and IRC for concrete building construction.

IBC and IRC are the predominant building and residential codes in the United States. To construct buildings using alternative materials that are not covered by the codes, two options exist:

- **The building code must incorporate the new technology through the public hearing process of ICC, or**
- **Building code compliance is shown, based on Section 104.11 of IBC or Section R104.11 of IRC.**

The first case may be accomplished once ACI Committee 440 has successfully developed a design code. The second case requires that the proponent of the alternative materials demonstrates building code compliance via AC454 or AC521, where AC454 applies to structural reinforcement applications.
and AC521 applies to shrinkage and temperature reinforcement applications.
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