#### Using vibration to control the rheology of concrete for 3D printing

#### Karthik Pattaje<sup>1,2</sup> & David Lange<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign <sup>2</sup> Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Inc.

October 29, 2023





# Mixture design for concrete 3D printing

# Selecting Proportions for Normal-Density and High-Density Concrete— Guide

Reported by ACI Committee 211

3D printable concrete needs to have yield strength that is:

Low enough to allow flow through the nozzle,

#### <u>BUT</u>

High enough to withstand its own weight and the weight of additional layers once printed



# **Mixture design for concrete 3D printing**



# **Mixture design for concrete 3D printing**

#### Table 8—Effect of additional constituents on various fresh properties (Kosmatka and Wilson 2016)

Property	Cement	w/cm	Water	Air	Fly ash	Slag cement	Silica fume
Water demand	Ť	Ļ	N/A	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ť
Workability	1	1	†	Ť	†	†	Ļ
Air content	Ļ	Ļ	1	N/A	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ
Bleeding and segregation	Ļ	1	†	Ļ	Ļ	¢	Ļ
Finishability	\$	¢	\$	Ť	Ť	Ť	Ļ
Time of setting	Ļ	Ť	Ť	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	1	$\leftrightarrow$
Heat of hydration	1	Ļ	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	1
Strength	1	Ļ	1	Ļ	1	1	1
Permeability	Ļ	1	† 1	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ
Cracking	Ť	Ť	1	Ļ	Ļ	†	1

Note: ↑ Increases; ↓ Decreases; ↑ Increases or decreases; ↔ Neutral.

ACI 211.1-22

# **Rheology of concrete under vibration**



## **Rheology of concrete under vibration**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hanotin et al., 2015 Mehdipour et al., 2018

# Measuring rheology during vibration

International Center for Aggregate Research (ICAR) concrete rheometer was modified to reliably measure yield stress during vibration.

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

Vibration table – 60 Hz, 0.001 m max. amplitude

## Schematic of proposed concrete 3D printer

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Experimental protocol**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Nomenclature

#### W0.400-P70-S15

- Paste volume assumes 3% volume of air
- Coarse 3/8<sup>"</sup> limestone chip

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Effect of aggregate content

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

- At low aggregate content cement paste rheology
- As aggregate content increases rheological properties of the concrete increases exponentially

Parameter	Sensitive to
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>

# Effect of w/c

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Parameter	Sensit	tive to
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$

### **Effect of sand : chip ratio**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

Sand:Chip (%) Yield stress - 100:0 > 0:100 > 50:50

Parameter	Sensitive to			
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	

# **Effect of changing aggregate**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Parameter		Ç	Sensitive to	
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	Aggregate Gradation, Type
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand :	Chip

# **Effect of changing paste**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Parameter		Ç	Sensitive	to		
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	Aggi Grac	regate dation, ype	Paste
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Ch	ip	F	Paste

# **Statistical modeling**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Special cubic canonical model

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \sum_{k=i+2}^{3} \beta_{ijk} X_i X_j X_k$$

# Prediction of concrete rheology during vibration

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Box-Wilson central composite design (CCD)

# Prediction of concrete rheology during vibration

	5	Coded level					
Input variable	Range	-α	-1	0	+1	+α	
Volume of paste, V <sub>P</sub> (%)	30.00 - 50.00	30.00	34.05	40.00	45.95	50.00	
Volume of sand, V <sub>s</sub> (%)	20.00 - 40.00	20.00	24.05	30.00	35.95	40.00	
Water to cement ratio, w/c	0.360 – 0.440	0.360	0.376	0.400	0.424	0.440	

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

		Factor levels						
Mixture			Coded		Experimental			
number	Type of point	V <sub>P</sub>	Vs	w/c	V <sub>P</sub> (%)	V <sub>S</sub> (%)	w/c	
1		-1	-1	-1	34.05	24.05	0.376	
2		+1	-1	-1	45.95	24.05	0.376	
3		-1	+1	-1	34.05	35.95	0.376	
4		+1	+1	-1	45.95	35.95	0.376	
5	Factorial	-1	-1	+1	34.05	24.05	0.424	
6		+1	-1	+1	45.95	24.05	0.424	
7		-1	+1	+1	34.05	35.95	0.424	
8		+1	+1	+1	45.95	35.95	0.424	
9		-1.68	0	0	30.00	30.00	0.400	
10		+1.68	0	0	50.00	30.00	0.400	
11	A 11	0	-1.68	0	40.00	20.00	0.400	
12	Axial	0	+1.68	0	40.00	40.00	0.400	
13		0	0	-1.68	40.00	30.00	0.360	
14		0	0	+1.68	40.00	30.00	0.440	
15		0	0	0	40.00	30.00	0.400	
16		0	0	0	40.00	30.00	0.400	
17	Central	0	0	0	40.00	30.00	0.400	
18		0	0	0	40.00	30.00	0.400	

28 of 48

# **Model inputs**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Prediction of concrete rheology during vibration

$$\eta = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \beta_{ij} X_i X_j$$

η – Response X – Input variable

### **Modeled response equations**

	Adj. R <sup>2</sup> (%)						
Response	Linear	Linear + Square	Linear + interaction	Full quadratic			
YS	81.95	<u>94.50</u>	76.14	92.06			
YS_Vib.	58.02	78.23	53.21	<u>85.17</u>			
Visc.	35.47	37.74	88.24	<u>91.52</u>			
ViscVib.	16.00	0.00	87.71	<u>87.80</u>			

## **Modeled response equations**

Response	Units	Equation	Adj. R <sup>2</sup> (%)	
		Yield stress = 72874		
Yield stress	Ра	- 617 V <sub>P</sub> - 116.1 V <sub>S</sub> - 254240 w/c	94.50	
		+ 6.23 V <sub>P</sub> <sup>2</sup> + 2.509 V <sub>S</sub> <sup>2</sup> + 287590 w/c <sup>2</sup>		
		Yield stress (during Vib.) = 58800		
Viold studes (duving vibuation)	De	- 1457 V <sub>P</sub> + 315 Vs - 149733 w/c	05 17	
Yield stress (during vibration)	Pa	+ 9.05 V <sub>P</sub> <sup>2</sup> + 1.351 V <sub>S</sub> <sup>2</sup> + 126668 w/c <sup>2</sup>	85.17	
		- 0.00 V <sub>p</sub> × V <sub>s</sub> + 1606 V <sub>p</sub> × w/c - 950 Vs × w/c		
		Viscosity = -476		
Viceocity	5	- 3.1 V <sub>p</sub> + 3.94 Vs + 2669 w/c	01 52	
VISCOSILY	Pd.S	+ 0.1074 V <sub>p</sub> <sup>2</sup> + 0.0113 V <sub>S</sub> <sup>2</sup> - 660 w/c <sup>2</sup>	91.52	
		+ 0.1762 $V_{p} \times V_{s}$ - 31.0 $V_{p} \times w/c$ - 30.4 Vs $\times w/c$		
		Viscosity (during vib.) = -868		
Viscosity (during vibration)	Do c	+ 25.04 V <sub>P</sub> - 18.04 Vs + 3614 w/c	87.80	
	Pd.S	- 0.0299 V <sub>P</sub> <sup>2</sup> - 0.013 V <sub>S</sub> <sup>2</sup> - 3149 w/c <sup>2</sup>		
		+ 0.0515 $V_{p} \times V_{s}$ - 61.8 $V_{p} \times w/c$ + 40.1 Vs $\times w/c$		

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Descenter	Statistically significant term, ranked					
	Response	1	2	3			
	Yield Stress	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	$\frac{1}{V_P}$	V <sub>s</sub>			
*	Vib. Yield Stress	$\frac{1}{V_P}$	(V <sub>P</sub> <sup>2</sup> )	$\frac{1}{w/c}$			
	Viscosity	(V <sub>P</sub> × V <sub>S</sub> )	$\frac{1}{V_P}$	$\frac{1}{V_S}$			
	Vib. Viscosity	$\frac{1}{V_P \times w/c}$	$\frac{1}{V_S}$	$\frac{1}{w/c}$			

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Prototype concrete 3D printer using vibration

Delta 3D printer with a modified nozzle

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **3D printing with vibration**

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **3D** printing with vibration

#### W0.34-P43.75-S28.125

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

	P40-S30	P43.75-S28.125	P47.5-S26.25
W0.36			
W0.34			
W0.32			

# Measuring rheology without a rheometer?

- 3D printable concrete mixtures have low or no slumps
- Regression analysis from Slump (ASTM C143) and Flow table (ASTM C1437)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

42 of 48

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Yield stress: 1551 to 3168 Pa

#### Yield stress (during vib.) 463 to 798 Pa

Viscosity: 17.8 to 28.2 Pa.s

Viscosity (during vib.): 33.6 to 36.6 Pa.s

#### **Targeted rheology with CCD model**

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

w/c 0.40

w/c 0.36

# Conclusions

- Effect of vibration on the rheology of concrete is immediate and reversible
- As aggregate content increases, the yield strength of the concrete increases considerably
- CCD can be used to effectively model rheological properties of concrete to develop equations to target specific rheological numbers
- To predict the performance of 3D printable concrete, we can potentially estimate the rheological parameters using established concrete testing methods such as slump or mortar flow

Parameter	Sensitive to					
Yield stress	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	Aggregate Gradation, Type		Paste
Viscosity	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	V <sub>Chip</sub>			
Yield stress during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$	Sand : Chip	Paste	1 Vib. Acceleration	
Viscosity during vibration	V <sub>Agg</sub>	$\frac{1}{w/c}$		V <sub>Chip</sub>		

### Thank you!

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

- K. Pattaje Sooryanarayana, K. A. Hawkins, P. Stynoski, and D. A. Lange, "Controlling Three-Dimensional-Printable Concrete with Vibration," Mater. J., vol. 118, no. 6, pp. 353–358, Nov. 2021.
- K. Pattaje Sooryanarayana, P. Stynoski, and D. Lange, "Effect of Vibration on the Rheology of Concrete for 3D Printing," in RILEM Bookseries, vol. 28, Springer, 2020, pp. 353–359.

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)