Comparison of Service Life Models

Moderator Neal Berke, Tourney Consulting Group Co-Moderator Session 1—Jose Pacheo, MJ2 Co-Moderator Session 2—Dave Tepke, SKA Consulting Engineers



Session 1

Learning Objectives

- (1) Summarize background on the models;
- (2) Identify what tests are needed to run the models;
- (3) Discuss how boundary conditions are determined;
- (4) Establish service life predictions for scenarios.



Session 2

Learning Objectives

- (1) Discuss models for multiple layers that can address overlays and membranes;
- (2) Explain the cracking of concrete in models;
- (3) Propose how to address non-water saturation and chemical reactions specific to the concrete composition;
- (4) Respond to questions from the audience to help in selection of the model to use.

Note that there will be overlap in the objectives between sessions 1 and 2

Overview

- Several models are available to predict service life of steel reinforced concrete exposed to corrosive environments. Today's discussions are concentrated on chloride induced corrosion.
- The various models have different input parameters and test methods to determine those parameters.
- An experimental data set was made available that determined several of the parameters required for the different models using on the same concrete mixes.
- The data was made available to all of the presenters to use to model the same exposure conditions.
- The goal is not to state that one model is better than the next one, but to provide a means of understanding how the results might differ.

Introduction to Concrete Mixtures, Parameters and Scenarios

Neal S. Berke, Tourney Consulting Group, LLC Kalamazoo, MI



Concrete Mixtures Used

| | CTRL | SF-8 | Hyaloclastite Pozzolan-20 |
|----------------------------------------|------|------|---------------------------|
| Cement, Type I/II (lb/yd³) | 658 | 605 | 526 |
| Silica Fume (lb/yd³) | 0 | 53 | 0 |
| Hyaloclastite Pozzolan (lb/yd³) | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| Fine Aggregate (lb/yd³) | 1279 | 1263 | 1273 |
| 3/4" Crushed Coarse Aggregate (lb/yd³) | 1815 | 1815 | 1680 |
| Total Water (lb/yd³) | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| w/cm | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Design Air (%) | 6 | 6 | 6 |



Binder Chemical Compositions

| | Type I/II Cement | Silica Fume | Hyaloclastite Pozzolan |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| SiO ₂ (%) | 19.80 | 94.04 | 48.90 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ (%) | 4.70 | 0.20 | 14.90 |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ (%) | 3.00 | 0.20 | 12.65 |
| CaO (%) | 63.60 | 0.38 | 8.70 |
| MgO (%) | 2.10 | 0.42 | 6.80 |
| SO ₃ (%) | 2.70 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| K ₂ O (%) | 0.40 | 0.59 | 1.05 |
| Na ₂ O (%) | 0.11 | 0.20 | 2.80 |
| Loss on Ignition (%) | 2.70 | 3.84 | 0.10 |



Test Results

| | CTRL | SF-8 | HP-20 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| ASTM C642 – Volume of Permeable Voids (%) | • | | |
| 28-Day | 8.8 | 11.8 | 12.8 |
| 90-Day | 11.4 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| ASTM C1556 – Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (×10 ⁻¹² m ² /s) | 4.6 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| ASTM C1585 – Capillary Absorption (mm/s ^{1/2}) | | | |
| Initial Absorption | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 |
| Secondary Absorption | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| ASTM C1760 – Bulk Electrical Conductivity (mS/m) | | | |
| 28-Day | 11.0 | 3.3 | 6.5 |
| 56-Day | 9.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| 90-Day | 8.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| NT Build 492 - Non-Steady-State Migration Coefficient (×10 ⁻¹² m ² /s) | 14.0 | 3.6 | 9.6 |
| STADIUM® IDC OH- Diffusion Coefficient (×10-11 m ² /s) | | | |
| 28-Day | 17.47 | 3.11 | 4.99 |
| 90-Day | 12.85 | 2.41 | 1.91 |
| STADIUM® MTC Permeability (×10 ⁻²² m²) | | | |
| 28-Day | 23.26 | 3.35 | 15.59 |
| 90-Day | 11.50 | 2.71 | 5.76 |

^{*}Unless otherwise specified, the tests were performed on 28-day wet-cured samples.



Modeling Cases

| <u>.</u> | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Location | Boston, MA | | | |
| Element | Bridge Deck | Marine Pile | Marine Wall | |
| Thickness/Diameter | 8 in | 36 in | 8 in | |
| Exposure | Deicing Salt | Submerged | Splash | |
| Target Initiation Time with 90% Confidence | 100 years | | | |
| Cover | 2.5 in | 3.0 in | 3.0 in | |
| Maximum Surface Concentration | 5500 ppm | 8000 ppm | 10000 ppm | |
| Black Bar Initiation Threshold | 735 ppm | | | |
| Enhanced Initiation Threshold | 2500 ppm | | | |
| Hydration Time | 8 years | | | |

Background Chloride at 85 ppm



Synopsis

- Various models are available to model service life for steel reinforced concrete structures.
- Different test methods are used to provide inputs to the models and one comparison to these results for the same concretes was presented and to be used in the presentations that follow.
- Means of increasing the chloride threshold value for corrosion initiation were addressed. This could be accomplished with more corrosion resistant alloys, coatings, or a corrosion inhibitor.
- Three modeling cases were provided for the presenters to use in their service life predictions.
- The emphasis today is on chloride-induced corrosion initiation.
- At the end of Session 2 there will be a panel discussion with the audience.