DO YOU REALLY KNOW ABOUT MOISTURE CORRECTIONS?

Allyn Luke 14 April 2013 Designing Concrete for the 21st Century Minneapolis, Minnesota

Why Moisture Corrections Are Needed?

- Stockpile moisture conditions vary depending on storage conditions and the weather.
- Varying amounts of water coming from the aggregates must be accounted for if the intended amount of water is to be accurately achieved in the mix.

The Issue in Question

- Difference between industry practice (IP) and technically correct (TC) procedures for making moisture adjustments
- IP bases free water adjustments on saturated surface-dry (*ssd*) weights (masses), where TC is based on oven-dry (*od*) weights
- The difference in free water computation between the methods can be very tiny in some areas, but significantly different in others.

Why Should You Care?

- High quality concrete requires a high level of water control
- Especially in specialty concretes like SCC and HSC
- To have a full understanding of the subject
 To appreciate the pros and cons of the technically correct and the standard industry approaches to making moisture adjustments.

Aggregate Moisture States

Oven-dry (*od*)- no moisture in the aggregates
 Saturated surface dry (*ssd*)- no surface

moisture

- Wet- water on surface available to hydrate cement
- Not fully saturated in between od and ssd
- Batch ticket weights (masses) are generally given for aggregates in the *ssd* condition.

Aggregate Moisture States (cont)









water on the surfaces

Saturated surface-dry

•Aggregates below *ssd* absorb water from the mix.

•Aggregates above *ssd* contribute water to the mix.

•Aggregates at *ssd* neither absorb nor contribute water to the mix.

Moisture Content MC%

- ASTM C 566 Evaporable Moisture
- Heat weighed sample in oven or carefully in pan until there is no further moisture loss.
- Subtract the oven-dry mass , m_{od} , from the initial sample mass, m_i , (that's the water) divide by m_{od} then multiply by 100 for %.

$$MC\% = \frac{m_i - m_{od}}{m_{od}} \times 100$$

Oven-dry state is repeatable

Free Moisture

Free water is available to hydrate cement, therefore must be subtracted from the base water mass to maintain the required *w/cm*.

- It must also be added to the base aggregate mass. Otherwise the *ssd* aggregate mass will be short.
- The Free Moisture is the Total Moisture minus the Absorbed Moisture.

 $MC\%_{free} = MC\% - A\%$

Mass of Free Water Practical vs. Technical
Difference between industry practice and technically correct procedures
IP computes FWM based on *ssd* mass

 $\blacksquare TC computes FWW based on od mass$

$$mw_{free} = m_{od} \times MC\%_{free}$$

The Consequence of the Difference

IP overestimates the FWM
by a little
Significant under certain circumstances
Demonstration requires a little Algebra

Saturated Surface-Dry ssd

Difference in methods results from IP's using *ssd* to compute the FWM
 ssd characterized by being completely saturated without free water
 A special moisture state

Absorption A%

 Absorption is the amount of moisture an aggregate will absorb before free moisture becomes available on the surface

- Moisture content when aggregate is at *ssd* A% is determined using ASTMs C 127 or C 128
- Looks just like oven-dry

Absorption A% (cont.)

Subtract the *od* mass , m_{od} , from the *ssd* sample mass, m_{ssd} , divide the difference by $m_{od,}$ then multiply by 100 for %.

$$A\% = \frac{m_{ssd} - m_{od}}{m_{od}} \times 100$$

• Rearranging this equation the *ssd* mass can be expressed in terms of m_{od} and A%.

 $\frac{A\%}{100} \times m_{od} + m_{od} = m_{ssd}$ factoring out m_{od} $m_{ssd} = m_{od} \left(1 + \frac{A\%}{100} \right)$

Algebraic Analysis of IP

To examine the difference between IP and TC methods m_{ssd}, in terms of m_{od}, is substituted for technically correct m_{od} in the computation of FWM.

$$\begin{split} mw_{free} &= m_{ssd} \times \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} \\ mw_{free} &= m_{od} \times (1 + \frac{A\%_{o}}{100}) \times \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} = \left(m_{od} + m_{od} \frac{A\%_{o}}{100}\right) \times \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} \\ &= m_{od} \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} + m_{od} \frac{A\%_{o}}{100} \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} \end{split}$$

What is in this result?

$$mw_{free} = m_{od} \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100} + m_{od} \frac{A\%}{100} \frac{MC\%_{free}}{100}$$

The first term is the technically correct computation for the free water mass.
 The second term is the difference between IP and TC methods

Closer Look at the Second Term

$$+ m_{od} \frac{A\%}{100} \frac{MC\%}{100}_{free}$$

Depends on three factors
 A small percentage multiplied by another small percentage – hundredths or thousandths of a percent
 This is why the difference between the methods come out small when demonstrated with typical values.

The Difference can be Significant

- Generally, the difference will be quite small and can, for various reasons, easily be neglected.
- However, with highly absorbent aggregates or aggregates at high moisture contents the difference could be consequential.

Impact on Mix Proportions

- Because FWM is high, water in the mix is low.
- Because FWM is high too much aggregate is weighed out.
- Effects are negligible with hard rock aggregates, but IP should be used with care when higher than average absorption materials are used

Advantages of Industry Practice

- Design and Batch Ticket weights are usually given in *ssd* terms
- Less computation, less possibility for mistake
- Differences are usually insignificant and within ASTM Tolerances
- Water is held back
 - Is that such a bad thing?

Guidance from ASTM Standards

- ASTM C 94 allows weighing tolerances ± 2% for aggregates, ± 1% for water
- ASTM C 1602 specifies that when w/c is increased 0.01, as can happen with high admixture dosages, it must be accounted
- Change the water content 1% or more you need to account for it.

Spreadsheet Analysis

•Within a range of Absorption and Total Moisture %, when would the difference between the methods exceed 1%?

| Standard Industry Practice | | | | S = | 3200 (change me!) | | SSD | | | | | |
|--|--------|----------------|-------------|------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Formula: W = <u>S*(1 + M/10</u> 0 - A/100) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Absorption [%] | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| otal M oisture % | 0 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 | 3008 | 2976 | 2944 | 2912 | 2880 |
| | 1 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 | 3008 | 2976 | 2944 | 2912 |
| | 2 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 | 3008 | 2976 | 2944 |
| | 3 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 | 3008 | 2976 |
| | 4 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 | 3008 |
| | 5 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 | 3040 |
| | 6 | 3392 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 | 3072 |
| | 7 | 3424 | 3392 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 | 3104 |
| | 8 | 3456 | 3424 | 3392 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 | 3136 |
| | 9 | 3488 | 3456 | 3424 | 3392 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3168 |
| | 10 | 3520 | 3488 | 3456 | 3424 | 3392 | 3360 | 3328 | 3296 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Theoretic | ally C | Correct | | S = | 3200 | | | | | | | |
| Formula: \ | N = S | [100 + M] | / [100 + A] | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Absorption [%] | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| % | 0 | 3200 | 3168 | 3137 | 3107 | 3077 | 3048 | 3019 | 2991 | 2963 | 2936 | 2909 |
| | 1 | 3232 | 3200 | 3169 | 3138 | 3108 | 3078 | 3049 | 3021 | 2993 | 2965 | 2938 |
| | 2 | 3264 | 3232 | 3200 | 3169 | 3138 | 3109 | 3079 | 3050 | 3022 | 2994 | 2967 |
| ้อ | 3 | 3296 | 3263 | 3231 | 3200 | 3169 | 3139 | 3109 | 3080 | 3052 | 3024 | 2996 |
| stu | - 4 | 3328 | 3295 | 3263 | 3231 | 3200 | 3170 | 3140 | 3110 | 3081 | 3053 | 3025 |
| Total Moi | 5 | 3360 | 3327 | 3294 | 3262 | 3231 | 3200 | 3170 | 3140 | 3111 | 3083 | 3055 |
| | 6 | 3392 | 3358 | 3325 | 3293 | 3262 | 3230 | 3200 | 3170 | 3141 | 3112 | 3084 |
| | 7 | 3424 | 3390 | 3357 | 3324 | 3292 | 3261 | 3230 | 3200 | 3170 | 3141 | 3113 |
| | 8 | 3456 | 3422 | 3388 | 3355 | 3323 | 3291 | 3260 | 3230 | 3200 | 3171 | 3142 |
| | 9 | 3488 | 3453 | 3420 | 3386 | 3354 | 3322 | 3291 | 3260 | 3230 | 3200 | 3171 |
| | 10 | 3520 | 3485 | 3451 | 3417 | 3385 | 3352 | 3321 | 3290 | 3259 | 3229 | 3200 |

How Much Impact?



Difference increases directly with increase in the FMC

How Much More Impact?

Difference Between Methods at 1% FMC



Mostly, the difference between IP and TC increases as the negative of the square of absorption -A%²

Numerical Example enough algebra

Within a range of Absorption and Total Moisture %, when would the difference between the methods exceed 1%?

■ 500 lb. cement mix, with the 3200 lb. *ssd* aggregate

To move w/cm 0.01, a 5 lb. water change would be required.

| cement | w/c | W | | |
|--------|------|-----|--|--|
| 500 | 0.56 | 280 | | |
| 500 | 0.57 | 285 | | |
| 500 | 0.58 | 290 | | |

Free Water Computation Difference

| | | Difference in free water mass between TC and IP methods | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | Absorption [%] | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | 0 | 0 | 3 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -8 | -11 | -15 | -19 | -24 | -29 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -9 | -13 | -17 | -21 | -26 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -5 | -7 | -10 | -14 | -18 | -23 |
| e, | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -8 | -12 | -16 | -20 |
| Total M oistur | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -9 | -13 | -17 |
| | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -7 | -11 | -15 |
| | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -5 | -8 | -12 |
| | 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -5 | -9 |
| | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -3 | -6 |
| | 9 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -3 |
| | 10 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 |

IP should not be used with high absorption aggregates, i.e. above 5%

- IP should be used carefully with aggregates at high moisture contents
- If IP is used under such conditions it should be used with understanding

Precedents For This Approach

- Appendix X2 of both <u>ASTM C 127 & C 128</u> express this same relationship (*ssd=od* x (1+A%)) in terms of *od* and *ssd* specific gravities (relative densities)
- <u>ACI E1-07 Aggregates for Concrete</u> report from ACI Committee E-701 utilizes exactly the same approach (*od=ssd/*(1+A%)) to making moisture adjustments as is proposed
- 3. <u>PCA Design and Control</u> makes adjustments based on *od* weights (masses)
- 4. NRMCA instructional materials make moisture adjustments based on *od* weights
- 5. From <u>ACI 211.1-70 to -91</u> (reapproved 2009) has always utilized *od* weights for making moisture adjustments

Thank You for Your Attention

QuestionsComments