







Summary

- Introduction
- Outreach for 5th graders
- Results
- Working with pre service teachers
- Conclusions



A Grand Challenge

- Future engineers must provide sustainable, durable, and rapidly constructed infrastructure at similar or lower costs
- They also must maintain existing infrastructure for as long as possible.

A Grand Challenge

- \$2.2 trillion is needed to restore US infrastructure.
- US National Academy of Engineering has listed infrastructure renewal as one of its grand challenges.

A Grand Challenge

- Less students are choosing engineering as a major (Jeffers et al., 2004)
- Snell and Snell (1992) found that only 1% of 6th graders wanted to be an engineer, while almost 22% wanted to be a medical doctor.

A Grand Challenge

- Many sources report that by 6th grade, students have already decided whether they are interested in a career in advanced math and science
- At OSU students that start in a math below calculus have <1% to graduate in engineering

A Grand Challenge

- The US Education System is trying to "adjust"
- "Next Generation Science Standards" now includes engineering in elementary education

A Grand Challenge

- Current teachers are not comfortable with engineering and don't really know what it is
- Many Superintendents have suggested re labeling science as engineering with little changes

A Grand Challenge

- We need to find ways to introduce engineering careers and concepts to elementary age students in engaging ways
- We also need to help their teachers to become more comfortable teaching these topics

What Can We Do?

Why is outreach important?

- Essential for future generations
- Rewarding
- Promotes your organization
- Required on many grants

A Research Question How can I best use my time to positively impact the attitude and knowledge of engineering for 5th grade students? Cost – benefit analysis = <u>impact</u> my time

Overview

- To do this we:
 - Developed age appropriate and engaging lessons
 - Quantified the knowledge and understanding of engineering concepts before and after my lessons
 - Used three delivery models that used to technology to develop the same content with different amounts of my time

Curriculum Created three modules that are 25 minutes each

- Two objectives for each module
- Hands on / lesson / hands on













































Results					
	n	Pre	Post	р	
		Mean	Mean		
	What is an Engineer?				
Expert Led	14	39.09	50.2	0.004	
Expert Visit	80	39.61	60.59	<.001	
Virtual	73	41.31	52.2	<.001	
	What is Technology?				
Expert Led	14	57.5	67.86	0.003	
Expert Visit	80	50.25	59.31	<.001	
Virtual	73	51.51	58.01	<.001	
	Engineering Attitudes				
Expert Led	14	53.79	53.5	0.81	
Expert Visit	80	56.36	59.96	0.01	
Virtual	73	60.33	65.3	<.001	



Summary

- For these students and measurement techniques:
- The expert led students did not show increased change when compared to the other delivery methods
- There was little difference between whether I visited once or never

• This suggests that subject experts should spend their time developing curriculum and training teachers on how to present it





Summary

- The teacher results does not match the 5th grade student data
- The expert visit was more effective then the virtual lessons
- Neither group showed an understanding of how engineers use math and science

What should we do different? Perhaps we need a different approach to train teachers Changes could be made in: Different curriculum

- Different curriculum
- Increased expert time with teachers
- Use assignments where they prepare curriculum

The Future!

• We already reach 280 students/year

• We will keep reaching this with no extra money

• It costs us roughly \$350 to add a new school to the program (70 kids)

• This one time cost will cover that school for roughly 5 years (350 kids or \$1 per child)

How Can you Help?

 If you know a company, individual, or grant that would be interested in helping sponsor a school then please let me know

- The teacher needs one day of training
- Please do your part and help out locally
- I know we are all busy but these efforts can make a big difference

Conclusion

• Developing our future engineers is important!

• The outreach curriculum presented was shown to make a positive impact on 5th graders about engineering concepts and professions

• Impact was observed for all of the curriculum delivery models

Conclusion

• There was no measurable difference for the impact on 5th graders despite the difference in the time commitment by the subject matter expert

• It is recommended to use subject matter expert time to develop content and train teachers on how to deliver it

Conclusion

• The education majors did not have the same response as the 5th graders.

• More work is needed to improve these lessons

• Quantitative comparison methods are useful to determine the effectiveness of outreach efforts

www.engineeringiseverywhere.com

WITH DR. TYLER LEY

