Minutes:

Meeting called to order at 10:00 by Jim Vermillion, Chair
Introductions were made and 9 members were present
Approve last meeting minutes – motion made by Roy Harvey, seconded by Darryl Manual

Jim Vermillion gave an overview of the trial specification, no feedback from committee. It was sent it out as a ballot for content only.

- Row Bowman expressed concern that we are writing a spec when we should be writing a guide.
- Jim Vermillion clarified that this subcommittee was charged with writing a reference spec.
- Pat Harrison stated that specs can be written either as Prescriptive or Performance, it should have standards to allow for technology.
- Darryl Manuel asked if this should be a guide since the CPAA has a written spec?
- Larry Rowland, Chair of parent committee 310 Decorative Concrete, described the setting up of this 310-J subcommittee.
- David Stephenson clarified that the spec we voted on was 3 or 4 specs that were joined together.
- Nate Blackburn asked what is this subcommittee’s scope?
- Jim Vermillion stated scope as any concrete surface that is to be ground and/or polished and colored.
- Ed Ulrich, TAC representative – Just move on it and make it a spec.
- David Stephenson suggested that we divide the specification into 8 sections and team up in pairs to work on it.
- Nate Blackburn stated that a specification needs to be written according to the Technical Committee Manual (TCM) Chapter 6.
- Ed (TAC) recommended to do a chapter at a time, and don’t go back and revisit previous chapters. Do it as a notebook so everyone can read changes.
- Jim Vermillion will take existing document and convert it to TCM chapter 6 documents, then send it out to members. It will be sectioned off for membership to pair up and rewrite and fine tune. Jim Vermillion stated we will work on the scope today.
- Nate Mohler read the purpose off the committee website. We need to better define the scope, the 310 Guide describes it as Post Placement Texturing.

Discussion of specification followed:

- Include cast in place or existing?
- If 3300 has components that we do agree or disagree with, we step up and put what we think is best practice
- Does spec include cast in place finishes or just post placement finishes?
• SCOPE – To Polish Concrete
• Have we looked at CSI Spec?
• Should we use CPAA definitions?
• New versus Proven technologies – do we reference or just mention
• Send out an example of spec format and email it

11:30 Motion to adjourn David Hoyt, seconded David Stephenson