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Background

- Many U.S. structures built before 1970-1980 contained lead-based paint (LBP), which is known as a human health hazard.
- Deconstruction of these LBP-contaminated buildings has progressed at a slow rate because of high disposal costs and environmental impacts.
- More cost-effective, environmentally friendly techniques for remedying and reusing these deconstructed masonry materials are needed.

Objectives

- To provide an effective method for deconstruction of masonry buildings with minimum environmental impact, cost, and time;
- To use the deconstructed LBP masonry materials as concrete aggregate and sequester the LBP in new concrete;
- To establish a rational mix design method for proportioning non-toxic and well-performing concrete made with the recycled, lead-contaminated aggregate.

Approach

- Recycling the LBP-contaminated masonry materials as concrete aggregate with minimal processing
- Using portland and phosphate cement to sequester lead of the deconstructed masonry materials in concrete

Degree of the hazard resulting from LBP primarily depends on the solubility of lead in the contaminated materials. The solubility of lead decreases with the increasing of pH value of the material. Portland cement concrete has a high alkalinity.
Scope

- Simulating lead-contaminated masonry materials and processing recycled aggregates
- Characterizing the recycled aggregates
- Testing fresh and hardened concrete properties
- Statistical analysis of the test results
- Developing mix design nomographs
- Cost analysis

Simulating Lead-contaminated Masonry Materials from Deconstruction

1. Collected different types of masonry materials (two types of concrete blocks and two types of clay bricks)
2. Made LBP (mixing basic lead carbonate, refined linseed oil, bodied linseed oil, and mineral spirits in a ball mill for 24 hours)
3. Painted the masonry materials with LBP
4. Crushed the lead-contaminated masonry with a jaw crusher

Monitoring Lead Concentration during Crushing – Wipe Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Distance from</th>
<th>KimWipe®, mg Pb/100cm²</th>
<th>Large Pieces, mg Pb/100cm²</th>
<th>Mass of Larger Pieces, g/100cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>37.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monitoring Lead Concentration during Crushing – Personal Air Quality Monitor

- The sample collected during an approximately three-hour, 1,000-lb run revealed an airborne lead concentration of 23.9 μg/m³, which was less than the current OSHA permissible exposure limit (PEL) for lead (50 μg/m³)

Characterizing Recycled Aggregate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Concrete Block</th>
<th>Clay Brick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.33 2.37 2.36 2.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Unit Weight, pcf</td>
<td>88.55 87.85 81.45 85.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption, %</td>
<td>7.65 5.84 5.89 4.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength, psi</td>
<td>3056 4755 10679 14722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCLP Pb, mg/L</td>
<td>4.17 1.29 1.12 77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pb, g/kg</td>
<td>15.4 10.1 12.5 5.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gradation and Voids of Recycled Aggregate

- The crushed aggregates were used in concrete without any gradation modification.
Cement Materials Used

- Type I portland cement
- Calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement
- Type I portland cement with 2.5% CaHPO₄

Concrete Mixing

- 4 aggregates
- 3 cements
- 3 aggregate-to-cement ratios (3.0, 4.5, & 6.0)
- 4 workability levels (slump = 1”-2”, 3”-4”, and 6”-7”)
- Over 50 concrete mixes in total

Fresh Concrete Properties

- Slump 1”-2”
- Slump 3”-4”
- Slump 6”-7”

Hardened Concrete Properties

- Curing
- Strength test

Fresh Concrete Properties

Depending on w/c, 28-day compressive strength of concrete made with some recycled aggregate can be over 6,000 psi.

Lead Content and Leachability of Concrete

TCLP showed that most concrete samples had Pb concentration less than 5mg/L, and they should not be considered hazardous materials, although the cycled aggregate is toxic.

Effect of Cement Content and w/c on Concrete Strength

Concrete made with masonry B and D had higher strengths than that made with masonry A and C.
Effect on Lead Content and Leachability

Except four concrete made with masonry material C, all other concrete samples had TCLP Pb value < 5 mg/L and should not be considered as lead toxic.

Mix Design Nomograph (MDN) Development

Nomographs were developed based on the test results and to be used for future mixture design of concrete with recycled LBP-contaminated aggregate.

Due to higher absorption of the concrete blocks, the total lead in concrete made with masonry materials A was higher than that of concrete made with clay brick (masonry materials C and D).

MDN for Concrete with Masonry Materials A, B and Portland Cement

MDN for Concrete with Masonry Materials C, D and Portland Cement

Additional Findings

- Calcium sulfoaluminate cement (CSA) cement provided concrete with higher early age compressive strength but did not affect 28-day strength.
- Addition of 5% phosphate in Portland cement did not significantly change the TCLP lead concentrations and total lead in concrete. (Different amount of the addition shall be considered in future study.)
Environmental Benefits

- Use of lead-based paint contaminated masonry materials as recycled aggregate in concrete is protective of the environment and makes effective use of available resources while avoiding disposal costs.
- While most of the deconstructions of LBP contaminated masonry materials are simply disposed in a hazardous waste landfill because of the presence of unacceptable levels of lead, the success of this study showed that LBP contaminated materials could be used satisfactorily for a variety of constructions, including roadways, parking lots and foundations.
- Such reuse could potentially be within the local area so as to avoid transportation costs to a distant landfill.
- Results showed that concrete made with recycled LBP-contaminated deconstruction masonry materials will have satisfactory physical properties for general structural use, will not leach lead into the environment, and will not become hazardous wastes upon future deconstruction.

Cost Analysis - Cost for LBP Removal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Spray Vitrification</td>
<td>3.50 - 9.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasive Blasting</td>
<td>5.00 - 18.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet Abrasive Blasting</td>
<td>5.00 - 20.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Blasting</td>
<td>4.00 - 20.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Blasting</td>
<td>4.00 - 20.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Blasting with Abrasive Injection</td>
<td>4.00 - 19.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Tool Cleaning To Bare Metal</td>
<td>5.00 - 15.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significant amount of money can also be saved by using LBP contaminated masonry materials in construction instead of costly removal and disposal of the LBP.

Cost Analysis – Approach

- Cost-saving analysis to compare such a masonry deconstruction method with traditional ones (including LBP removal, hazardous and non-hazardous material disposal) for three hypothetical buildings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Floor area</th>
<th>LBP-contaminated wall surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3,000 ft²</td>
<td>13,000 ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>18,000 ft²</td>
<td>33,000 ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>96,000 ft²</td>
<td>104,000 ft²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Three different disposal scenarios were considered in this comparison analysis.
  - Scenario I – disposal in a construction waste landfill
  - Scenario II – disposal in a secure (hazardous waste) landfill
  - Scenario III – LBP removal then disposal in a construction waste landfill

Conclusions

- Lead in the LBP-contaminated aggregate can be sequestered in concrete due to the high alkalinity of portland cement. The concrete mixes made with the recycled masonry materials as aggregate did not have the toxicity characteristic because lead in the TCLP extracts was less than 3mg/L.
- Upon ultimate disposal, properly designed concretes made with LBP-contaminated aggregates would not be considered hazardous wastes under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).
- Desirable workability and strength of concrete can be achieved with these recycled aggregates by changing concrete mix proportions. Such concrete mixes can be used satisfactorily for a variety of constructions, including roadways, parking lots and foundations.
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