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Christchurch Central Business District (CBD)

Christchurch:
• Pop. ~ 390,000
• 2nd largest in NZ
CBD:
• Approx. 6,000 companies or institutions with over 50,000 employees
• 25% of the total employment in the city.
Short intense shaking - CBD

CBD Response Spectra

Comparison with DBE

CBD – Cordon: 23 Feb 2011

The engineering response
Critical Building Project

- Identify buildings that pose a threat of collapse or a hazard to adjacent buildings and roads.
- ~40 “Critical buildings”
- Advise City on action to reduce hazard during State of Emergency.
  - Stabilize, Demolish, or Leave it for the owner?

Hotel Grand Chancellor

Initial Information: 23 Feb 2011

Photo of wall failure in foyer:

The Challenge: 24 Feb 2011

Survey: No movement in aftershocks (Feb 23 – Feb 24)

Drawings: 24 Feb

- Constructed mid-1980s
- 22 full stories
- East bay cantilevered

Perimeter frame (above Level 14)

Core wall (up to Level 14)

Drawings: 24 Feb

W-E Elevation

- Cantilever beams
- Transfer girder
- Central shear wall on center grid line
- Tension hanger
- Lobby wall failure
Aside:

Structure changed during construction

Drawings: 24 Feb

Level 14

Dunning Thorton

Inspection – lobby wall: 24 Feb

Inspection - Level 10 Columns: 24 Feb

Briefing notes 24 and 25 Feb 2011
Solution?

- Demolition?
- Reduce mass?
- Stabilize?
  - “Fix” ground floor wall?
  - Support under tension columns?
  - “Fix” Level 10 columns?

Must all be done with limited exposure in and around building!!

USAR Proposal: 25 Feb


This proposal provided vital information on the damage to the building based on USAR engineer observations. It provided the stimulus for and formed the basis of the final proposals which were developed and implemented.

Brainstorming: 25 Feb

- Stage 1:
  - Mass concrete to brace wall from east.
  - Pumped from outside potential fall zone.
- Stage 2:
  - Mass concrete to confine base of wall.
- Stage 3:
  - Reinforce and shotcrete wall and slab above.
- Plan B – Prop cantilever in lane

Stabilisation Repair Drawings: 26 Feb

Clearing the work area: Feb 27

Preparing the formwork: Feb 27
Pump concrete – east support: Feb 28

Complete wall support: March 1

Shotcrete lobby wall: March 2-5

Stabilization - Level 10 Columns: March 5+

Plan B: Cantilever propping proposal

Deconstruction...
| Hotel Grand Chancellor  
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</thead>
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<td>• The constructive approach of all involved was outstanding and is gratefully acknowledged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concluding remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Unprecedented context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Urgent need to stabilise major buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– USAR access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Road clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Immense challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Building data and drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Surveys to check movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Stabilisation solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Innovative implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sustained co-operative effort of all involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Many subsequently demolished but initial stabilisation critical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>