CONTENTS

1.	GENERAL		
1.1	Eurocodes1		
1.2	Units of measurement		
1.3	Symbols	17	
2.	LIMIT STATES DESIGN General	21	
2.1			
2.2	Classification of actions		
2.2.1	Nominal/Characteristic values of loads	،۲ 20	
2.2.2	2.2.2.1 Materials self-weights		
	2222 Floor coverings self-weights	22	
	2.2.2.3 Brick masonry loads		
	2.2.2.4 Imposed loads	24	
	2.2.2.4 Imposed loads	21	
223	Design values of actions	21	
2.2.5	2 2 3 1 Non-seismic actions		
	2.2.3.1 Non-seismic actions		
224	Combinations of actions		
2.2.4	Wind influence	26	
2.2.0	Effects of indirect actions	26	
2.2.0	Effects of actions		
2.3	Deformation-stress definition of structures for combinations without	ΣΖΙ	
2.0.1	seismic actions (STR/GEO) [EC0, 6.4.1.]	27	
2.3.2	Deformation-stress definition of structures for combinations with		
	seismic (or accidental) actions	28	
2.3.3	Deformation-stress envelope definition		
2.4	Strength of structural elements		
2.5	Exercise		
2		25	
ა. ექ	STRUCTURAL WODEL AND ANALISIS		
3.1	Structural model		
3.1.1	Effective span of beams and slabs		
3.1.2	Effective width of flanges		
3.1.3	Rigit bodies		

3.1.4	Diaphra	igmatic behaviour	40
3.2	Seismic	loading model	41
3.3	Deform	ations - Stresses	44
3.3.1	Frame	model	44
3.3.2	Slab an	alysis	45
3.3.3	Frame a	analysis	46
3.3.4	The effe	ect of torsional stiffness on indirect beam-beam supports	56
3.3.5	Modelli	ng floor diaphragms using two-dimensional finite elements	62
3.3.6	Modelli	ng slabs using members and finite elements	64
	3.3.6.1	The frame behaviour in regions of columns	66
	3.3.6.2	Deflection of beams	68
	3.3.6.3	Torsional stiffness of beams	70
	3.3.6.4	Final conclusion	71

4	SLABS	75
4.1	General	75
4.2	Two-dimensional finite elements	77
4.2.1	Assumptions	77
4.2.2	Stress resultants and deflections	84
4.2.3	Unfavourable loadings and envelopes of stresses – deflections	116
4.2.4	Clarifications	
4.3	Analyses using tables	134
4.3.1	Assumptions	134
4.3.2	Application width of concentrated load on slab	134
4.3.3	Distribution width of concentrated load	
4.3.4	Support moments of continuous slabs	140
4.3.5	Contribution of pinned slab supports	141
4.4	Cantilevers	142
4.4.1	Static analysis	142
4.4.2	Deflection	142
4.5	One-way slabs	146
4.5.1	Static analysis	146
4.5.2	Deflection	150
4.5.3	Effective of live load on the static analysis of one-way slabs	154
	4.5.3.1 Accurate analysis method	154

	4.5.3.2 Simplified method for env	elope estimation162		
4.6	Two-way slabs163			
4.6.1	1 Shear forces and support reaction forces			
	4.6.1.1 Simplified method			
	4.6.1.2 Czerny's elasticity method	166		
4.6.2	Fundamental support and span me	pments – Deflections167		
	4.6.2.1 MARCUS method			
	4.6.2.2 CZERNY's elasticity meth	od171		
4.6.3	Bending moments in continuous tw	vo-way slabs171		
	4.6.3.1 Continuous strip method.			
	4.6.3.2 Accurate method (manual)		
	4.6.3.3 Practically accurate method	pd174		
4.6.4	Influence of live load			
4.7	Slabs supported on three edges			
4.8	Slabs supported on two adjacent e	dges179		
4.9	Exercises			
5.	SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF FRAM	ES209		
5.1	One-storey plane frames (or coupl	ed columns)209		
5.1.1	Bending and shearing effect on de	formations and stresses209		
5.1.2	The degree of fixity effect of colum	ns		
5.1.3	The effect of columns moment of in	nertia217		
5.1.4	The effect of columns differential h	eight		
5.1.5	Frame column stiffness			
	5.1.5.1 One-storey frame structur	al systems225		
	5.1.5.2 One-storey dual structura	systems		
5.2	Coupled one-storey plane frames			
5.3	Multistorey plane frames			
5.3.1	Multistorey plane frame systems			
5.3.2	Multistorey plane dual systems			
5.3.3	Comparison of multistorey frame a	nd dual systems242		
5.4	Space frames			
5.4.1	Diaphragmatic behaviour			
5.4.2	Centre of mass and radius of gyra	ion252		
5.4.3	Centre of stiffness and elastic disp	acements of the diaphragm254		
	5.4.3.1 Subject description			
	5.4.3.2 Translation of centre of st	ffness C⊤ along x direction255		

	5.4.3.3	Translation of centre of stiffness C_T along y direction	256
	5.4.3.4	Rotation of the diaphragm by an angle θ_z about C_T	257
	5.4.3.5	Torsional stiffness ellipse, torsional radii and equivalent system	259
	5.4.3.6	Work methodology	262
5.4.4	Assess	ment of building torsional behaviour	268
5.4.5	One-sto	prey space frame with rectangular columns in parallel arrangement	269
	5.4.5.1	Analysis using manual calculations, assuming fixed-ended columns (k	(=12)270
	5.4.5.2	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming fixed-ended columns (k=12)	270
	5.4.5.3	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming columns with k=6	270
	5.4.5.4	Analysis using software, assuming actual beam and column torsional stiffnesses	270
5.4.6	Multisto	rey space frame of rectangular columns in parallel arrangement	274
	5.4.6.1	Analysis using manual calculations, assuming fixed-ended columns (k	(=12)275
	5.4.6.2	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming fixed-ended columns (k=12)	275
	5.4.6.3	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming columns with k=6	275
	5.4.6.4	Analysis using software, assuming actual beam and column torsional stiffnesses	
5.4.7	Exercis	es	
6.	SEISM	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS	
6. 6.1	SEISMI Seismic	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS	291
6. 6.1 6.1.1	SEISMI Seismic Seismic	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1]	291 291 291
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ance factors [EC8, §4.2.5]	291 291 291 291 292
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] nce factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2]	291 291 291 292 292
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] nce factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping	291 291 291 292 292 292 294
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavio	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ance factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping bur factor q	291 291 291 292 292 292 294 294
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavio 6.1.5.1	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ince factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping bur factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1]	291 291 291 292 292 292 294 294 294
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ince factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping bur factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1] Regularity in plan [EC8 §4.2.3.2]	
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ince factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping bur factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1] Regularity in plan [EC8 §4.2.3.2] Regularity in elevation [EC8 §4.2.3.3]	
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ince factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping our factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1] Regularity in plan [EC8 §4.2.3.2] Regularity in elevation [EC8 §4.2.3.3] Ductility classes [EC8 §5.2.1]	291 291 291 292 292 292 294 294 294 294 296 297 301
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4 6.1.5.5	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] nce factors [EC8, §4.2.5]. type [EC8, §3.1.2]. s damping bur factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1]. Regularity in plan [EC8 §4.2.3.2]. Regularity in elevation [EC8 §4.2.3.3]. Ductility classes [EC8 §5.2.1].	
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4 6.1.5.5 6.1.5.6	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1]	
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4 6.1.5.5 6.1.5.6 6.1.5.7	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1] ince factors [EC8, §4.2.5] type [EC8, §3.1.2] s damping bur factor q Structural types [EC8 §5.2.2.1] Regularity in plan [EC8 §4.2.3.2] Regularity in elevation [EC8 §4.2.3.3] Ductility classes [EC8 §5.2.1] Basic value of the behaviour factor q_0 [EC8 §5.2.2.2] Failure mode factor k_w [EC8 §5.2.2.2]	291 291 291 292 292 292 294 294 294 294 294 296 297 301 302 304 304
 6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5 	SEISMI Seismic Seismic Importa Ground Viscous Behavic 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4 6.1.5.5 6.1.5.6 6.1.5.7 Design	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings	
6. 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.1.5 6.1.5	SEISMI Seismid Seismid Importa Ground Viscous Behavid 6.1.5.1 6.1.5.2 6.1.5.3 6.1.5.4 6.1.5.5 6.1.5.5 6.1.5.6 6.1.5.7 Design Design	IC ACCELERATIONS AND LOADINGS OF BUILDINGS c response of buildings c zones [EC8, §3.2.1]	

6.3	Seismic stresses	. 311
6.3.1	Seismic accelerations	311
6.3.2	Seismic forces, shear forces, bending moments	312
6.3.3	Approximate method for the calculation of seismic accelerations [EC8, §4.3.3.2.2]	313
6.3.4	Cracking and plasticity effects	314
6.4	Applications	315
6.4.1	Frame type structure	315
6.4.2	Wall-equivalent dual type structure	322
6.4.3	Approximate analysis of the frame and wall type structures	328
6.5	Loading envelopes	. 330
6.6	Special cases	. 333
6.6.1	Columns not belonging in a diaphragm	333
6.6.2	Vertical component of seismic action [EC8, §4.3.3.5.2]	

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: MODELLING SLABS WITH FINITE ELEMENTS

A.1	Modellir	ng slabs with finite elements in a structural frame	. 337
A.1.1	The fram	me behaviour in the regions close to columns	. 341
	A.1.1.1	Model using members	. 341
	A.1.1.2	Model with finite elements	. 343
	A.1.1.3	First Conclusion	. 344
A.1.2	Deflecti	on of beams	. 344
	A.1.2.1	Model using members	. 355
	A.1.2.2	Model using finite elements	. 348
	A.1.2.3	Second Conclusion	. 351
A.1.3	Torsion	al stiffness of beams	. 351
	A.1.3.1	Model using members	. 352
	A.1.3.2	Model using finite elements	. 355
	A.1.3.3	Third Conclusion	. 358
	A.1.3.4	FINAL CONCLUSION	. 358

APPENDIX B: STIFFNESS OF MULTISTOREY PLANE FRAMES

B.1	Stiffness of frame crossbar	
B.2	Equivalent multistorey frame – crossbar relative stiffness	
B.3	Relative stiffness of crossbar column	
B.4	Effect of slabs on stiffnesses using finite elements	
B.5	Effect of walls on stiffnesses using finite elements	

APPENDIX C: DIAPHRAGMATIC BEHAVIOUR OF ONE-STOREY SPACE FRAME – GENERAL CASE

C.1	Subjec	t description	
C.2	Axis tra	Insformation	
C.3.	Case 1	: Translation of centre of stiffness C_T along x direction by δ_{xo}	
C.4	Case 2	: Translation of centre of stiffness C_T along y direction by δ_{yo}	
C.5	Case 3	: Rotation of the diaphragm by an angle $ heta_z$ about $C_{ au}$	
C.6	Torsior	al stiffness ellipse, torsional radii and equivalent system	
C.7	Superp	osition of the three cases	
C.8	Work M	1ethodology	
C.9	Expres	sions relating the initial system X0Y and the principal system xC_Ty	
C.10	One-st	prey space frame with rectangular columns in random arrangement	
	C.10.1	Analysis using manual calculations, assuming fixed columns (k=12)	
	C.10.2	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming fixed-ended columns (k=12)	
	C.10.3	Analysis using the Excel file, assuming columns with k=6	
	C.10.4	Analysis using software, assuming actual beam and column torsional stiffnesses	392
APPEN	DIX D:	DIAPHRAGMATIC BEHAVIOUR OF MULTISTOREY SPACE FRAME – GENERAL CASE	
D.1	Subjec	t description	
D.2	Genera	I method for the calculation of the diaphragm i data	
D.3	Diaphra	agm lateral stiffnesses	406
D.4	Diaphra	agm torsional stiffness	406
D.5	Torsior	al stiffness distribution	407
D.6	Equiva	ent system – Relative lateral stiffness – Relative torsional stiffness	408
	D.7	Examples	

2.5 Exercise

The residential building of the sketch includes a basement of area $12 \times 18 m^2$ and height 3 m, a ground floor and four storeys of identical dimensions and a top floor of area $4 \times 6 m^2$ and height 2.5 m. The masses at levels 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 are equal to $M_G=220 t$ and $M_Q=44 t$, at level 5 to $M_G=180 t$ and $M_Q=44 t$, while at the top level to $M_G=20 t$ and $M_Q=4 t$. The building is situated in the seismic area Z₁ and the distribution of seismic accelerations is triangular. The design seismic acceleration-of magnitude 0.12g is applied at the center of mass of the building.

The calculation of the seismic and wind forces as well as a comparison between them is asked.





 M_i [t]: masses, w [kN/m²]: wind loads, a_i [m/sec²]: seismic accelerations

Since the building is residential $\psi_2=0.30$ and consequently during an earthquake the dynamic masses are evaluated as $M=M_{\rm G}+0.30\cdot M_{\rm Q}$. Thus, the dynamic masses at levels 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 are equal to $M_{\rm G+0.30Q,i=0.4}=220+0.30\times44=233 t$, at level 5 is equal to $M_{\rm G+0.30Q,i=0.4}=220+0.30\times44=233 t$, at level 5 is equal to $M_{\rm G+0.30Q,i=0.4}=180+0.30\times44=193 t$, while at the top level is equal $M_{\rm G+0.30Q,i=2}=20+0.30\times4=21 t$.



Figure 2.5-2: Wind forces F_w are less significant comparing to earthquake forces F_s . W [kN]: gravity loads $F_w [kN]$: wind forces $F_s [kN]$: seismic forces

Assessment of seismic forces

The total mass of the building during earthquake is $M=4\times233+193+21=1146 t$, while the CM (mass center) is located at distance z_0 from the ground floor basis:

$$z_0 = \frac{233 \times 3.0 + 233 \times 6.0 + 233 \times 9.0 + 233 \times 12.0 + 193 \times 15.0 + 21 \times 18.0}{1146} = \frac{10263tm}{1146t} = 9.0 \text{ m}$$

3.1.4 Diaphragmatic behaviour

In general, when there is eccentric loading at a floor, e.g. imposed by the horizontal seismic action, the in-plane rigidity of the slab forces all the in-plane points (therefore all column heads¹ on the slab) to move the same way.

The 3 displacements δ_z , φ_x , φ_y of each node belonging to the diaphragm are independent of each other, while the rest δ_x , δ_y , φ_z are depended on the 3 displacements of point C_T called Center of Elastic Torsion of the diaphragm. Displacements δ_{xi} , δ_{yi} , φ_{zi} at the point i of the horizontal diaphragm are expressed as:

 $\varphi_{zi} = \varphi_z$ $\delta_{xi} = \delta_{xCT} \cdot y_i \cdot \varphi_z$ $\delta_{yi} = \delta_{yCT} + x_i \cdot \varphi_z$



Figure 3.1.4-1: Diaphragmatic behaviour of floor

Figure 3.1.4-2: The displacements of a random point i of the diaphragm due to ϕ_z

In a floor diaphragm of 20 main and 14 slave nodes, the number of the unknown displacements (degrees of freedom) is equal to $20 \times 3 + 3 = 63$.

¹ The term 'column' refers to columns as well as to walls.

is indicated by the red dashed line at the Interface of the related software so that the engineer is able to check the order of magnitude of the distribution of the seismic accelerations. Furthermore, using this method there is no need for additional dynamic response spectrum analysis.

<u>Notes</u>

- The main comparison is performed on seismic accelerations. This is based on the fact that seismic forces provide the same fast visual outcome with respect to their vertical distribution, provided that all floor masses are identical.
- When the principle system is inclined, for earthquake in x direction only, the seismic accelerations are developed in both x, y directions. The same stands for earthquake in y direction only.
- The earthquake in x direction generates components in x direction only when the structure is symmetrical, otherwise it generates components in both directions.





Figure 3.3.3-1: The structural model of the frame considering loadings: g and q and the seismic W

The general analysis of the frame subjected to vertical uniform load *w*, from table 1 is:

$$k = \frac{I_2}{I_1} \cdot \frac{h}{l} = \frac{52.125}{21.33} \cdot \frac{3.0}{5.0} = 1.47$$

$$H = H_A = -H_B = \frac{l^2}{4h \cdot (k+2)} \cdot w = \frac{(5.0m)^2}{4 \cdot 3.0m \cdot (1.47+2)} \cdot w = 0.60m \cdot w,$$

$$V_A = V_B = \frac{l}{2} \cdot w = \frac{5.0m}{2} \cdot w = 2.50m \cdot w$$

$$M_A = -M_B = \frac{h}{3} \cdot H = \frac{3.0m}{3} \cdot 0.60m \cdot w = 0.60m^2 \cdot w$$

$$M_{CA} = M_{CD} = M_{DC} = -M_{DB} = -\frac{2}{3}h \cdot H = -\frac{2}{3} \cdot 3.0m \cdot 0.60m \cdot w = -1.20m^2 \cdot w$$

The general analysis of the frame subjected to horizontal load *W*, from table 39 is:

$$H_{A} = H_{B} = -\frac{W}{2} = -0.50W$$
$$V_{A} = -V_{B} = -\frac{3 \cdot h \cdot k}{l \cdot (6k+1)} \cdot W = -\frac{3 \cdot 3.0m \cdot 1.47}{5.0m \cdot (6 \cdot 1.47+1)} \cdot W = -0.27 \cdot W$$





- ⁷ This stress resultant, as well as most of the following, could be calculated by the simple observation of the symmetry both of structure and loading. However this general process is handling asymmetries as well, e.g. as in the 2nd loading.
- ⁸ x is the point of zero shear force corresponding to position of maximum bending moment.
- ⁹ From structural analysis it is known that the maximum bending moment M_{max} in a span *i*,*j* is located at the point *m* at distance *x* from the end *i*, where shear forces become zero. The moment at that point is given by the expression $M_{max}=M_{i,j} + A_V$ where A_V is the area under the shear forces diagram from the point *i* to the point *m*. In this example, since there is only uniform load, the area is $A_V = (V_{i,j} \cdot x)/2$.

 $\frac{2^{\text{nd}} \text{ combination}: w=g + 0.30 \ q + "E_x"=33.0 + 0.30 \times 10.0 + "E_x"=36.0 \ kN/m + "E_x"}{M_A=M_{A,g}+0.30M_{A,q}+M_{A,W}=19.8 + 0.30 \times 6.0 - 100.8 = -79.2 \ kNm, M_B=-19.8 - 0.30 \times 6.0 - 100.8 = -122.4 \ kNm} \\ M_{CA}=M_{CD}=-39.6 - 0.30 \times 12.0 + 82.2 = 39.0 \ kNm, \\ M_{DC}=-39.6 - 0.30 \times 12.0 - 82.2 = -125.4 \ kNm, M_{DB}=39.6 + 0.30 \times 12.0 + 82.2 = 125.4 \ kNm, \\ H_A=H_{A,g}+0.30H_{A,q}+H_{A,W}=19.8 + 0.30 \times 6.0 - 61.0 = -39.4 \ kN, H_B=-19.8 - 0.30 \times 6.0 - 61.0 = -82.6 \ kN, \\ V_{A}=V_{A,g}+0.30V_{A,q}+V_{A,W}=82.5 + 0.30 \times 25.0 - 32.9 = 57.1 \ kN, V_B=82.5 + 0.30 \times 25.0 + 32.9 = 122.9 \ kN \\ V_{CD}=w\cdot l/2 + (M_{DC}-M_{CD})/l=36.0 \times 5.0/2 + (-125.4 - 39.4)/5.0 = 90.0 - 33.0 = 57.0 \ kN, \\ V_{DC}=V_{CD}-w\cdot l=57.0 - 36.0 \times 5.0 = -123.0 \ kN \\ N_{A}=-V_{CD}- \ self\ weight\ of\ column\ = -57.0 - 12.0 = -69.0 \ kN \\ N_{B}=V_{DC}- \ self\ weight\ of\ column\ = -123.0 - 12.0 = -135.0 \ kN \\ x=V_{CD}/w=57.0/36.0 = 1.58 \ m,\ M_{max}=M_{CD} + (V_{CD}\cdot x)/2 = 39.0 + (57.0 \times 1.58)/2 = 84.0 \ kNm, \\ w\cdot l^2/8=36.0 \times 5.0^2/8 = 112.5 \ kNm \end{aligned}$





Figure 3.3.3-7









Combinations and Envelopes of stress resultants



-165.1 -165.1 [N_d]



<u>**3**rd combination</u>: $g+0.30q-E_X$ (additional combination U2)



Figure 3.3.3-22: Bending moment diagram and elastic line Figure 3.3.3-23: Shear force diagram





Figure 3.3.3-24: The three combinations of bending moments



Figure 3.3.3-25: Envelope of bending moments. The values given per 0.20 m are needed for the reinforcement design and the reinforcement detailing

3.3.6 Modelling slabs using members and finite elements

Finite element method assists in defining slabs behaviour with significant accuracy. However, in order for the results to represent the reality, suitable assumptions should be adopted. The effects of the following factors are examined thoroughly in Appendix A:

- 1) The frame behaviour at the regions close to columns
- 2) The deflection of beams
- 3) The torsional stiffness of beams

The above factors affect the behaviour of slabs. In order to investigate this effect, a simple structure is being modelled in two ways:

- (i) Using members, according to which the slab is modelled as a grid of main and secondary joists, without the assumption of rigid bodies.
- (ii) Using triangular finite elements.

The summary of Appendix A is presented below:



Figure 3.3.6-1: The structure of project <<u>B_331</u>> of the related software (column sections 400/400, beam sections 300/500, slab thickness 170 mm)



Figure 3.3.6-3: Slab modelled with triangular finite elements (project <B_331>, pi-FES) (a=beam-slab common deflection curve)

3.3.6.1 The frame behaviour in regions of columns

The model using both members and more accurate two-dimensional finite elements, takes into account the **slab frame behaviour in regions close to columns**, in contrast to the inexpensive approach of simply supported slab throughout its length. However, in order for the slab to behave as a common frame with the columns in the actual structure, the slab-columns connections (where strong negative bending moments are developed) should be reinforced with strong, correctly placed and well anchored negative top reinforcement at slabs. For this reason, the slab analysis using finite elements in common worksheets should consider pinned supports on columns.



Figure 3.3.6.1-1: Bending moment diagrams of joists of structure modelled with members (project <B_336>)

In case of members, the two main side joists (of slab) forming a common frame with the columns, have greater torsional rigidity than the intermediate nearly simply supported main joists and bear heavier loads, thereby to develop strong negative bending moments at their supports and relatively low positive bending moments at their spans. The interim main joists develop strong positive bending moments at their spans, while being supported on the end joists through the secondary joists stressed by significant positive bending moments at their spans. In the more accurate model using two-dimensional finite elements, the main side strips behave intensively as frames. The results are similar to those of using members with the following differences: (a) In the main side strips (corresponding to the main side joists) the frame behaviour is more intensive, since the moments at the supports are greater and moments at the spans are smaller, (b) In the interim main strips (corresponding to the interim main joists) the span moments are smaller, (c) The span moments of the secondary strips (corresponding to secondary joists) end up to be greater. This is due to the fact that the internal torsional stiffness of the slab elements (torsion) is stronger than the respective of members.



Figure 3.3.6.1-2: Bending moment diagrams of slab strips modelled with triangular finite elements (project <<u>B_331</u>>, pi-FES)