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CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

1.1—Introduction
Recent structural challenges encountered from events such as the observed alkali-aggregate reactions (AARs)/cracking at Seabrook (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (U.S. NRC) 2011), cracking and chemical attack at Zion (Gregor and Hookham 1993), and the publicized reports of concrete degradation in domestic plants (Gregor and Hookham 1993; Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) 1990; Ashar and Bagchi 1995) have highlighted the need for guidance on acceptable structural evaluation and repair methods from a code and regulatory viewpoint. These recommendations can be used to evaluate the condition of concrete structures at any point during their service life and following any imposed damage, aging, or loading event. For post-earthquake evaluations, supplemental guidelines and evaluation criteria, such as those discussed in EPRI TR 3002005284 (EPRI 2015a) and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Safety Reports Series No. 66 (IAEA 2011), should also be considered.

The evaluation process and techniques used in this report have been revised and updated to cover possible scenarios that could be encountered in nuclear safety-related concrete structures, with insights from the state-of-the-practice in the construction industry included as well. This report provides the user with relevant and more up-to-date information on evaluation and repair of nuclear structures with a focus on those that have been deemed nuclear safety-related. Note that this report provides recommendations for performing an evaluation. The responsible engineer and evaluation team should use engineering judgment in applying these recommendations. Visual inspection is the recommended primary evaluation tool for identification of degradation. A more exhaustive evaluation, using nondestructive examination (NDE) and invasive tests, could be warranted by observations subject to the responsible engineer’s evaluation perspective.

1.2—Scope
Chapters 1 and 3 provide the introductory material and general methodology used, respectively. Chapters 4 through 7 and Chapter 9 include new information, expanded coverage, and relevant references for continued research. Chapter 8 provides guidance on the need for repair; use of proven methods, including those recently implemented in specific nuclear plants; and relevant industry references (ACI/ICRI 2013). To ensure that evaluations and any follow-up repairs are properly implemented, it is recommended that the responsible engineer remains in charge throughout the completion of all the tasks up to documentation, including evaluation reports and repair programs as defined herein.

This report supplements the ACI 349 code by presenting a framework for conducting an evaluation and developing any associated repair procedures for nuclear safety-related concrete structures. Before initiating this report, the scope of ACI 349 was self-limited to the design and inspection of newly constructed concrete nuclear structures. As the nuclear power plants in the United States grow older and become susceptible to the adverse effects of aging, their periodic inspection, proper evaluation, and repair have become more important issues. Recent U.S. NRC regulations 10 CFR50.65 and 10 CFR54 (U.S. NRC 2015a,b) require licensees to inspect and evaluate the condition of concrete nuclear structures that may have experienced age-related degradation. Also, following the accident at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant resulting from the March 11, 2011, Great Tohoku Earthquake and subsequent tsunami in Japan, the NRC established the Near Term Task Force (NTTF) to conduct a review of the NRC processes and regulations, and provide recommendations to the NRC regulatory process to enhance reactor safety. Subsequent to the NTTF findings, the NRC issued a letter under 10 CFR50.54 (U.S. NRC 2015a) on March 12, 2012, requiring owners of every U.S. nuclear power plant to perform seismic (Sezen et al. 2011) walkdowns to identify and address degraded, nonconforming, or unanalyzed conditions, and to verify the current plant configuration with respect to the current design basis and state of knowledge gained since such was prepared on seismic and flood hazards. The evaluation scope herein was tailored to support structural evaluations required by periodic regulatory requests and in support of hazard analyses. Documents including EPRI TR 3002005284 (EPRI 2015a) and NUREG/CR-5042 Supplement 2 (U.S. NRC 1989) should also be considered.

Effective maintenance, modification, and repair of any concrete structure begins with a comprehensive program of inspection and evaluation. This evaluation can include a visual review of previously accomplished repairs or maintenance, and performing condition surveys, testing, mainte-
nance, and structural analysis. The term “concrete nuclear structure” denotes concrete structures used in a nuclear application, while the term “nuclear safety-related concrete structure” refers to a specific quality classification and a subset of concrete nuclear structures. Although this report was written to provide guidelines for completing an evaluation of nuclear safety-related structures, such guidance can also be used for other similar concrete structures in U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) nuclear facilities/laboratories, Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSIs) licensed under 10 CFR 72 (U.S. NRC 2000), or any other applicable structures. The term “plant” is used interchangeably for “nuclear power plants,” and “facilities” is used for DOE facilities and ISFSIs, which comply with the ACI 349 code (for example, Performance Category PC-3 or PC-4 and others defined per DOE Guide 420.1.2 [U.S. DOE 2000]) in the balance of this report.

Nuclear safety-related concrete structures are designed to resist the loads associated with plant operating conditions, postulated accidents, and severe environmental conditions. These structures provide protection for nuclear safety-related components from hazards internal and external to the structure, such as postulated missile impacts, impulsive loads, flooding, fire, earthquakes, and other severe environmental conditions. Additionally, the design for some of these structures can be controlled by the required thickness of concrete intended for shielding against radiation produced during the nuclear fission process. All nuclear safety-related structures share a common function: they are integrally designed with the various systems, equipment, and components they support, and protect to restrict the spread of radiation and radioactive contamination to the general public. An effective evaluation procedure provides a rational methodology to maintain the serviceability of nuclear safety-related structures. Each evaluation should make reference to and preserve the design basis, as defined by the updated final safety analysis report (UFSAR), technical specifications, codes and standards, calculations, drawings, and test records for the affected structure(s) in the disposition of findings and results. This includes qualification of any damage or degradation found, or necessity and suitability of various repair options.

Concrete nuclear structures, while unique in application, share many physical characteristics with other concrete structures. The four basic constituents of a concrete mixture are the same for nuclear or nonnuclear concrete structures: cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, and water. Admixtures that enhance the constructability and durability of concrete are also permitted in nuclear structures, with certain limitations as defined in ACI 349. Nuclear safety-related structures can be similarly reinforced with normal reinforcing steel or prestressing steel, and can contain various structural steel embedments. Over time, operational and environmental conditions and loads can result in degradation of these steel elements and could affect the expected behavior of the structure. Whether the structure is considered nuclear safety-related or not, prudent engineering practices during material (concrete mixture) design and specification, structural design, and construction should be taken to minimize the potential for degradation during service. The success of such practices, however, is not ensured, given exposure to various events. Sound inspection programs in which the performance and condition of structures are periodically evaluated and monitored can be used to ensure that the structures continue to serve their intended function. Because of the many similarities between nuclear and nonnuclear concrete structures, practices and procedures used for their inspection and maintenance can be commonly used as defined herein.

The purpose and final scope of an evaluation procedure is defined by the owner, utility, holding company, governmental agency, or other organization. Development and implementation of an evaluation procedure for nuclear safety-related structures can serve many purposes, such as:

a) Provide documented evidence of continued performance and function by periodic evaluation
b) Identify and mitigate age-related degradation at early stages
c) Provide guidance for the development of an effective maintenance program
d) Support the application for an extended operating license
e) Provide baseline condition data for comparison following an earthquake, a short-term environmental load, or an accident condition
f) Provide baseline information regarding ongoing deterioration mechanisms so that any change can be identified and monitored
g) Provide guidance for walkdowns following an earthquake, flood, tsunami, or any other external event
h) Provide configuration and material property information for structural reanalysis, physical modification, or similar activity

This report identifies a procedure for the determination of critical structures, defines and characterizes the primary degradation mechanisms, provides insight on inspection techniques and frequencies, and provides guidance on the evaluation of inspection results and necessary repair. Herein, the word “repair” is intended to signify a goal to maintain the design basis and extend the service life of an affected structure in a nuclear plant, and encompasses rehabilitation, alteration, and repair actions. The design basis could have been changed since original design and construction in response to specific regulatory compliance requirements, so the most current design basis applies to evaluation and repair.

CHAPTER 2—DEFINITIONS

ACI provides a comprehensive list of definitions through an online resource, “ACI Concrete Terminology.” Definitions provided herein complement that resource.

BWR—boiling water reactor (BWR) is a type of light water nuclear reactor used for the generation of electrical power. In a BWR, the reactor core heats water, which turns to steam and then drives a steam turbine.
**CHAPTER 3—GENERAL METHODOLOGY AND EVALUATION PROCEDURE**

### 3.1—General methodology

This report focuses on industry-accepted evaluation practices and recommends the application of those practices to the unique situations typically encountered in nuclear safety-related concrete structures. The objective is to develop a program of inspection and evaluation that recommends the most effective practices for inspection and evaluation of such structures. Through proper inspection and evaluation, the most likely locations for degradation and its causes within the nuclear safety-related structures can be identified.

A thorough survey of these critical locations provides data to describe the current physical condition of the concrete, evaluate past structural performance, and form a basis for comparison during future inspections. The responsible engineer, who is the individual responsible for administering the evaluation procedure, can then review the information to evaluate the severity of the condition. The condition could be acceptable as-is or could require further in-depth examination and evaluation. The owner may opt to monitor the condition over a period of time to obtain more data. In more severe cases, the observed condition could require repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of the affected structure. In each case, the evaluation and ultimate corrective actions are based on interpretation of both qualitative and quantitative information regarding the structure in question.

Recommendations in this report use several established ACI reports on evaluation and repair that are developed for general concrete structures (Chapter 9). By implementing established recommendations in typical nuclear plant applications, an effective evaluation procedure can be developed for nuclear safety-related concrete structures. Use of general condition survey (visual inspection), supplemented by additional testing or analysis as required, is a recommended approach for most evaluations.

### 3.2—Scope

The evaluation of existing nuclear safety-related concrete structures could be required as a result of identified degradation or abnormal performance, in support of physical modifications, or for periodic validation of structural integrity. Comprehensive evaluation of all nuclear safety-related structures at periodic intervals is also desirable to monitor operational effects and possible degradation due to environmental conditions. This chapter describes the procedural steps that can be used to effectively monitor and maintain the nuclear safety-related concrete structures via prioritized evaluation.

An evaluation procedure document should be developed by the owner (3.5). The evaluation procedure should be comprehensive and include provisions for addressing the variety of potential uses, such as those cited in Chapter 1. The two procedural methods of evaluation that can be performed are selective (3.3) and periodic evaluations (3.4). These two methods use similar evaluation tools, such as visual inspections, but are different in terms of scope. The primary components of an evaluation procedure and guidelines for preparing the evaluation procedure document are further discussed in 3.5.

### 3.3—Selective evaluation

The selective evaluation method is used when an evaluation of a specific structure or structural component is required due to identified issues. The purpose of a selective evaluation is to provide information on a specific structure to serve as input for structural evaluation or subsequent design modification or repair of that structure. When the selective evaluation method is used, the structure in question and the acceptance criteria of the evaluation, such as the required in-place compressive strength and other properties, should be defined based on the design basis. The appropriate evaluation techniques, such as visual inspection and testing, that are used to support the selective evaluation should be defined in the evaluation procedure document. Selective evaluations are typically performed once for a specific purpose and are
generally not repeated unless the initial evaluation indicates a need to monitor certain degradation mechanisms or structural performance over a defined period of operation.

3.4—Periodic evaluation

The periodic evaluation method can be used to demonstrate satisfactory performance of nuclear safety-related concrete structures, to identify the presence and activity of age-related degradation, or for other reasons as noted in Chapter 1. In contrast to selective evaluations, periodic evaluations are not intended to address a specific issue, but rather are employed to determine physical condition and functionality of nuclear safety-related structures with respect to the design basis. Periodic evaluations should be repeated at a certain frequency using a standardized procedure. Evaluation frequency is defined by the owner according to the licensing requirements and design basis in Table 6 of this report. This form of evaluation should provide an effective method for addressing the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-mandated maintenance rule, or for technical justification in a license renewal application for the nuclear plant as a whole. The frequency of periodic evaluations should be established by considering the factors such as accessibility, physical condition, environmental exposure, and tolerance to anticipated degradation (Hookham 1991). This section discusses the basic criteria for prioritizing and selecting structures for periodic evaluation.

The intent of the prioritization process is to inspect a representative sample of the areas that are most likely to experience some form of degradation, as well as those areas where degradation can be critical to the structural integrity of nuclear safety-related structures. To verify that the selected sample areas are, in fact, representative of worst-case conditions, complementary sample area inspections should be made in areas where little or no degradation is expected. For example, structures primarily located below grade might not be readily accessible for evaluation, but could be exposed to an aggressive environment. Measures can be implemented that establish the condition of these structures through determination of soil and groundwater chemistry and local inspection during opportune soil excavations, such as during new equipment installation. While such efforts are indirect and not comprehensive, they can be used to characterize environmental exposure conditions and their effects to assist in prioritizing further evaluation efforts. Similarly, structures located underwater will not be accessible for evaluation with the same level of visual acuity as structures above water. Measures can be implemented that establish the condition of these structures using divers, dewatering, or remote underwater cameras. The condition of these structures through the inspection of exposed portions of the structures at the waterline and above can serve as a leading indicator of conditions underwater. While such efforts are indirect and not comprehensive, the results can be used to characterize environmental exposure conditions and their effects. The results can also be used to determine whether additional inspections are warranted and to prioritize any further inspection or evaluation efforts. For guidance on investigation and evaluation of underwater components, refer to ACI 546.2R and the ASCE Manual of Practice (MOP 101).

Three primary factors pertinent to each structure are common to the prioritization process in periodic evaluation: safety significance, accessibility, and exposure conditions. Safety significance is regulated by the requirements of 10 CFR50 (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (U.S. NRC 2015a) and 10 CFR100 (U.S. NRC 2015c)), from which the basic structural function and performance requirements are determined. Certain structures can provide multiple nuclear safety-related functions and are more important to the overall safety. Accessibility considerations dictate the degree to which the structure can be inspected under varying operating conditions and the need for special access requirements, such as excavation, divers and dewatering, and radiation protection. Exposure conditions are related to vulnerability of the structure to chemical, physical, and mechanical attacks due to natural and operating environments, and the microclimate to which each structure and structural component thereof is exposed. Prioritization decisions should be sensitive to any significant changes in these three factors, especially when variations such as multiple environmental exposures occur within the same structure. The following process can be used to prioritize the nuclear safety-related concrete structures for inspection (Hookham 1991):

a) List all primary nuclear safety-related structures
b) Categorize structures by location and accessibility; for example, exposed to external atmospheric conditions or indoors subjected to controlled environment, underwater, and subterranean, provided the surfaces of the structure can be accessed
c) Identify and list each structural component of each structure by function, such as wall, column, and slab
d) Identify and evaluate the safety significance of each structure and structural components within each structure and specify the extent of their boundaries, interfaces, and connectivity
e) Examine the aggressiveness of the operating and environmental exposure(s) and local conditions according to their propensity to promote various degradation mechanisms
f) Develop a prioritized listing of structures and their structural components for inspection; those most critical to the structural integrity and safety of the plant and those most likely to be exposed to severe degradation conditions should be given the highest priority
g) Assemble the design basis, including as-built drawings, specifications, design calculations, and other information addressing each structure on the prioritized listing

The number of structures included in a specific evaluation is dictated by the purpose of the evaluation. As an example, it might be necessary to consider the complete listing of structures to support a license-renewal application. For periodic evaluations, all nuclear safety-related structures should be considered in the selection process of the structural components list. Following prioritization and determination of an implementation schedule, the evaluation procedure is applied to the selected structures by the evaluation team. Chapter 7 addresses qualifications of the evaluation team.
All repair activities associated with structural defects require the review and approval of the responsible engineer and LDP completing repair design.

Where the design basis does not provide guidance for specific tests critical to the performance of the repair, the division of responsibility in the contract documents should identify responsibilities for preparing a schedule of required testing and the acceptance criteria for such to assure compliance.

Issuance of the repair program document, defining the repair program, methodology, QA, and future needs for evaluation (frequency) under the responsible engineer’s approval is the final QA action associated with a specific repair during design phase. Lessons learned, particularly relative to future repair of nonnuclear and nuclear safety-related concrete structures, should also be documented to maximize the likelihood of successful repair.
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