# International Trends in Beneficial Use of Fly Ash

Doug Hooton Honor of Dick Stehly ACI Minneapolis April 14, 2013





# Canada

- The proportion of ash and all coal combustion products that is beneficially used in Canada has continued to increase and is now over 28%
- CIRCA, the Canadian equivalent of ACAA, continues to promote beneficial use.



			Flue Gas		
			Desulphurization		
	Fly Ash	Bottom Ash	Gypsum	Other (1)	Total CCPs
			(000 tonnes)		
PRODUCTION					
Produced	4,368	2,190	276	207	7,042
Disposed / Stored	3,010	1,777	1	205	4,993
Removed from Disposal	3				3
USE (DOMESTIC)					
Cement	399	147	2	34	581
Concrete / Grout	683				683
Mining Applications	97				97
Roadbase / Subbase	13	40			53
Wallboard			274		274
Other (2)	33	1		2	36
TOTAL USE	1,225	188	276	36	1,724
Individual Use Percentage	28	9	100	17	25

#### CANADA, PRODUCTION AND USE OF COAL COMBUSTION PRODUCTS (CCPs), 2009-2011 AVERAGE

Source: Natural Resources Canada

March 15, 2013

(1) Circulating fluidized bed fly ash and bottom ash

(2) Includes waste stabilization and specialty uses such as mineral filler and flowable fill

#### 2009-11: 28% of fly ash is being used, and 100% of FGD gypsum is used

## Environment Canada

• The Canadian equivalent of EPA continues to maintain Coal Combustion Products as non-hazardous.

# Canada

- In Canada, challenges to beneficial use of fly ash have resulted from changing power plant operations.
- Since 2012, powder-activated carbon has been used to scrub mercury from stack gasses in Alberta and Saskatchewan. This has impacted the ability to air-entrain concrete.
- New Hg-scrubbing additives are being tried that reduce the impact on AEA.

# **CSA** Specifications

- In terms of specifications, CSA fly ash specifications are different from ASTM/AASHTO in that ashes are classified based on calcium content rather than the sum-of-oxides approach.
- Fly ash is also considered part of the cementitious system and the specifications are included with the cement standards.

## CSA A3001

- Fly ashes are characterized by CaO content rather than the ASTM sum of the oxides.
- Class F ash has up to 15% CaO
- Class CI ash has 15-20% CaO
- Class CH ash has >20% CaO
- In addition for ASR mitigation, ashes are sorted by Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>eq</sub>. as 0-3.0%, 3.1-4.5% and >4.5% (the req'd level of mitigation goes up as alkalis go up.

### CSA A3001-2010 Revision

#### Table 7

# Supplementary cementing materials and blended supplementary cementing materials — Chemical requirements

(See Clauses 3, 5.3, 5.4.1, and 5.4.2, Table 2, and CSA A3004-E1.)

	Туре							
Property*	N	F	CI	CH	S	SF	SFI	Reference
Calcium oxide (CaO), %†	_	≤15	>15–≤20	> 20	_	_	_	CSA A3003
Sulphur trioxide (SO <sub>3</sub> ), maximum %‡	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0**	1.0	1.0	CSA A3003
Sulphide sulphur (S)§, maximum %	_	_	_	_	2.5			CSA A3003
Loss on ignition, maximum %	10.0	8.0	6.0	6.0	_	10.0	10.0	CSA A3003
Silicon dioxide (SiO <sub>2</sub> ), minimum %	_	_	_	_	_	85	75	CSA A3003



## Effect of CaO and alkali on ASR Concrete Expansions



### Effect of Fly ash CaO on Sulfate Resistance



## CSA A3001

- In CSA, the strength activity test is optional.
- This is because the current test in ASTM C311, with the limits in C618 can not distinguish fly ash from inert fillers.
- Until a better test is developed, no test is specified.

### CSA A3001 no Strength Activity test

#### Table 8

#### Supplementary cementing materials and blended supplementary cementing materials — Physical requirements

	Туре							_
Property*	N	F	CI	CH	S	SF	SFI	Reference
Fineness, 45 µm, maximum % retained	34	34	34	34	20	10‡	10‡	CSA A3004-A3
Autoclave, maximum % expansion	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	CSA A3004-B5
Accelerated pozzolanic strength activity index, 7 days, minimum % of control	_	_	_	_	_	_	105	ASTM C 1240
Tendency to entrap air†	—	—	_	_		No visible foam	No visible foam	CSA A3004-A5

(See Clauses 5.3 and 5.5.)

### Strength Activity is optional

#### Table A.3

#### Supplementary cementing materials and blended supplementary cementing materials — Optional requirements

Property*	Ν	F	CI	CH	S	SF	SFI	Reference
Pozzolanic strength activity index,								
7 days, minimum % of control		_	—	_		105	_	ASTM C 1240
28 days, minimum % of control	75	75	75	75		_	_	ASTM C 311

(See Clauses A.1 and A.4, and CSA A3004-E1.)

# ASTM C618/AASHTO M295

#### Effect of Non-Pozzolanic Ground Quartz Filler Material

Currently AASHTO M 295 requires that with a 20% replacement of cement by fly ash, mortar cubes must reach 75% of either the 7 or 28-day strengths of the 100% portland cement mortar cubes. This is represented as a Strength Activity Index (SAI) as described in ASTM C311.

As shown in Table 9, the AASHTO M 295 SAI requirement of 75% is flawed in that non-pozzolanic ground quartz silica fillers are able to meet this requirement at the current 20% cement replacement level. The SAI of a non-pozzolanic ground quartz silica flour, of approximately the same fineness as fly ash, was measured with three different portland cements, PC-1, PC-2 and PC-3, and this non-pozzolanic filler met the 75% strength level with all three cements, at a 20% replacement level. Therefore, due to the filler effect on nucleation of cement hydration, ground quartz would meet the requirements for a class F fly ash, despite not having any pozzolanic value. However, at a replacement level of 35% by volume, the non-pozzolanic filler would not have passed this limit.

	Materials							
		Class	CaO	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Sum of Oxides	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>e</sub>
Cement	PC-1		64	21	4.7	2.9	28	0.51
	PC-2		63	21	4.3	3.3	28	0.53
	PC-3		62	20	5.0	3.0	28	1.04
Fly	Н	F	3.5	61	26	4.7	91	2.3
Ashes	Μ	F	7.2	60	17	5.0	82	4.2
	0	F	10	59	16	4.7	80	2.0
	Q	F	17	50	19	4.8	74	1.6
	U	C	22	39	19	7.0	66	2.2
	Х	C	19	37	20	5.4	62	6.9
	ZA	C	27	33	17	5.7	55	4.0
	ZC	C	30	31	16	5.8	53	2.5
Filler	SiLEX		0.02	98.8	0.9	0.0	99.8	0.04

### Effect of Quartz Filler (Silex 325)

Cement	Age (days)	Strength 100% Cement (MPa)	Strength 20% replacement with filler (MPa)	M295 SAI (%)	Strength 35% replacement with filler (MPa)	Old SAI (%)
PC-1	7	31.4	26.4	84	21.2	68
PC-2	7	29.6	23.5	79	18.2	62
PC-3	7	28.2	24.4	87	19.9	71
PC-1	28	39.4	33.2	84	27.2	69
PC-2	28	38.1	29.2	77	25.2	66
PC-3	28	35.4	30.0	85	22.8	64

All mixes with 20% filler pass 75% limit in C618, but not at 35% filler at 7 or 28d

	7 Day	7 day	28 day	SAI	SAI	SAI
	Strength	Strength	Strength	7 day	7 day	28 day
	23 °C	55 °C	23 °C	23 °C	55 °C	23 °C
	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Class F Fly Ash						
FA-H	3075	4685	5381	65.6	105.2	84.8
FA-M	3786	4975	6483	80.8	111.9	102.2
FA-O	3220	4700	5642	68.7	105.6	88.9
FA-Q	4496	6440	6947	95.9	144.8	109.5
Class C Fly Ash						
FA-U	4424	5511	7121	94.4	123.9	112.3
FA-X	4380	4946	5569	93.4	111.3	87.9
FA-ZA	4090	5395	6077	87.2	121.3	95.8
FA-ZC	4163	4380	6266	88.8	98.5	98.9
PC-4 Control	4685	4453	6338	-	-	-
INF-1	3263	3553	4119	69.7	80	65
INF-2	2799	2611	3553	59.6	58.7	56.1
INF-3	2843	2828	3655	60.6	63.5	57.7

Table 4.33. Strengths and SAI Using PC-4 using PC-4 with each of the eight CFA and the three inert fillers at 35% cement replacement.

At 35% replacement, fillers do not pass current C618 75% limit at 7 or 28d but fly ashes pass at 28d and 6/8 pass at 7d.

55C curing activates the fly ashes at 7d

# Ontario

- For over 25 years, most of the Class F ashes have been used for cement kiln feed or for waste stabilization.
- Cement companies have benefited from lower energy to react glassy fly ash in making clinker, as well as from using unburned carbon as fuel.
- Scrubber material has been used as calcium sulfate for cement.

# Ontario

- Ontario has been shutting down its coal power plants and the last units will close in 2014.
- However, before that, the use of coal power for peak operations, as well as from low-NOx burners resulted in high-LOI ashes.

#### Lower Notch Dam, Montreal River, Northern Ontario



# Lower Notch

- Lower Notch was built with ASR argillitegreywacke coarse aggregate which was crushed from the forebay excavation.
- Hydro tested the aggregate using C227 and old concrete prism test.
- Low-alkali cement, or 20, 30% class F fly ash were effective in mitigating expansion.
- The contractor was given the choice of using ash or LAPC, and chose fly ash.



#### COMPARISON OF SAND-GRAVEL SAMPLES FROM THE CANADIAN SHIELD

1967

Hydro

Report

#### L. Dolar-Mantuani

	Location (Gravel	Lower Notch (A)	r 1	Red <u>Rock</u>	Aubrey Falls	Silver Falls
			Comp	osition of	[ gravel a	ggregate
	Intrusive rocks and gneisses	4.8	10.4	15.3	78.2	51.8
à	Diabase	0.5	2.7	26.2	14.2	33.5
	Sedimentary rocks (sandstones, argillite)	94.5	82.7	57.1	0.2	6.9
	(A) - Crushed dril	l core				

3

#### Alkali Reactivity of Aggregates by Mortar Bar Expansions at 38°C and 100% RH (ASTM C227)



# **Concrete Expansions**



# Excess Aggregate stockpiles





# Upstream piers/gates



# Upstream blockout



# South Wing Wall



# **Spillway Piers**



# 100mm cores ~2m long



## New Brunswick

- It is planned to replace the ASR-affected Mactaquac power dam by 2030 and use the same aggregate. It is planned to use High-volume fly ash (50-60%) to prevent ASR.
- Landfilled fly ash may be used in case existing coal plants are no longer running, or if the ash has changed.
- In 2010, 10 foot cubes were cast with different levels of fly ash to determine ASR mitigation (M. Thomas)

### Mactaquac Generating Station (1964-68)

- Located on the St. John River ~ 20 km west of Fredericton
  - Second largest hydroelectric plant in Atlantic Canada
    - Generating capacity = 672MW.
      - Construction 1964 1968
        - After ~10 years the concrete structures started to exhibit distress which was later (1985) attributed to ASR.
        - Since 1985, various remedial measures have been undertaken to mitigate the effects of concrete expansion

#### **Concrete Mixes for Demonstration Project**

- Control mix (0% fly ash), 30%, 40% & 50% processed fly ash and 50% reclaimed fly ash from landfill
  Prediction: 30% fly ash will just fail, 40% will just pass, 50% includes safety margin and will serve as a demonstration that long-term properties of concrete with 50% fly ash are acceptable
- Cement content: 420 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
- Cement alkalis: highest available boosted to 1.25% Na<sub>2</sub>Oe
- W/CM: adjusted to give required slump (75 to 100 mm)
- Springhill used as a surrogate Mactaquac aggregate

#### **Methods of Evaluation**





3.05-m Cubes at Mactaquac





Blocks in the inspection gallery at Mactaquac



<u>3-Year Results</u>	Block	Fly Ash (%)	Exp (%)
	Control	0	0.048
		30	0.009
	Processed	40	0.005
A Company of the second		50	0.002
	Reclaimed	50	0.002

# Europe

- Fly Ash is used in blended cements in EN197-1, most commonly as:
- CEMIIA-V (6-20% siliceous ash)
- CEMIIB-V (21-35% siliceous ash)
- CEMIIA-W(6-20% calcareous ash)
- CEMIIB-W(21-35% calcareous ash)
- Can also have up to 55% ash in CEMIV-B cements

# Europe

- The EN206 Concrete standard allows fly ash additions that meet EN 450.
- Activity index (in percent) of the compressive strength of standard mortar bars, prepared with 75 % test cement plus 25 % fly ash by mass, to the compressive strength of standard mortar bars prepared with 100 % test cement, when tested at the same age
- Min. activity Indices: 28d =75%; 90d = 85%

NOTE The result of the activity index tests gives no direct information on the strength contribution of fly ash in concrete, nor is the use of the fly ash limited to the mixing ratio used in these tests.

## EN450 Co-combustion ash

- Fly ash from co-combustion as defined in 3.2 is obtained from pulverised coal fired simultaneously with at least one co-combustion material as listed in Table 1. The minimum percentage, by dry mass, of coal shall be not less than 60 % or 50 % if the cocombustion material is only from green wood.
- The maximum proportion of ash derived from cocombustion materials shall not be greater than 30 % by dry mass.

## EN450

#### Table 1 — Types of co-combustion materials

1	Solid Bio Fuels conforming to CEN/TS 14588:2003 including animal husbandry residues as defined in 4.3 and excluding waste wood as defined in 4.40, 4.107 and 4.136.
2	Animal meal (meat and bone meal)
3	Municipal sewage sludge
4	Paper sludge
5	Petroleum coke
6	Virtually ash free liquid and gaseous fuels

# Japan

- JIS A 6201 (Fly ash for use in concrete)
- The silicon dioxide content of fly ash is required to be not less than 45% regardless of the type of fly ash.
- The ignition loss of fly ash to be used shall be within certain tolerances from the value at the time of establishing or modifying the proportions of concrete.
- When used with an air-entraining admixture or air-entraining and waterreducing admixture for general use, Type I and Type II fly ashes shall have an ignition loss determined at the time of proportioning/re-proportioning ±0.5 and ±1.0 percentage points, respectively.
- When using an air-entraining admixture for use with fly ash, the tolerances may be widened to ±1.0 and ±1.5 percentage points for Type I and Type II, respectively.

CONCRETE LIBRARY OF JSCE NO.36, DECEMBER 2000

## Australia

AS 3582.1 Supplementary cementitious materials for use with portland cement Part 1 Fly ash.

# Summary

- Coal fly ash is changing: co-combustion is becoming more common.
- Hg-control has variable impacts on Airentrainment.
- The current Strength Activity test limits do not distinguish ash from filler.
- Ash CaO content has a direct link to ASR and sulfate resistance.
- Standards need to adapt.

