



American Concrete Institute
Always advancing

SP PAPER PEER REVIEW FORM

Please Return by:

Manuscript No.:

Author(s):

Title:

Reviewer:

Please state justification for publication or decline below:

On a scale of 1 to 5 (5 being the best), please rate each of the following questions diligently. Your rating will be the basis for the acceptance or decline of the manuscript for publication.

- 1. Your familiarity with the subject of this manuscript (1- 5)?
If you consider yourself not familiar with the subject matter, please return the manuscript to the SP Editor.
- 2. Your opinion of the manuscript (1-5)?
- 3. Do you recommend publication (1-5)?
(1 & 2 = decline; 3 = publish only if comments addressed; 4 & 5 = accept)

Please include your justification for publication (or decline) in the box below, or attach a separate sheet:

- 4. Does the technical content fit within the scope of ACI Special Publications (1-5)?
- 5. Are the Conclusions substantiated by the text of the manuscript (1-5)?
- 6. Does the manuscript present sufficient in-depth information (1-5)?
- 7. Does the manuscript present new information or new treatment of existing knowledge (1-5)?
- 8. Does the manuscript represent advancement in state of the art or state of practice (1-5)?
- 9. Is the manuscript written in understandable and correct English (1-5)?
- 10. Are you aware of one or more similar manuscripts by the author(s)? Yes No

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR AUTHORS

(Review comments may also be listed by page and line number in the table on the end of this form, or marked on the manuscript and sent as a PDF file. Please focus primarily on technical issues and use additional pages if necessary.)

Technical:

Editorial:

General:

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR AUTHORS

Please focus your comments on technical issues and use additional pages if necessary.

Pg. No.	Ln. No.	Technical/ Editorial/ General	Comment

Please save the completed form to your computer, and attach the file to your e-mail to the SP Editor.