

ACI ITG-10R-18

# Practitioner's Guide for Alternative Cements

Reported by ACI Innovation Task Group 10



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*



## **Practitioner's Guide for Alternative Cements**

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised the ACI Collection of Concrete Codes, Specifications, and Practices.

**American Concrete Institute**  
**38800 Country Club Drive**  
**Farmington Hills, MI 48331**  
**Phone: +1.248.848.3700**  
**Fax: +1.248.848.3701**

[www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)

## Practitioner's Guide for Alternative Cements

Reported by ACI Innovation Task Group 10

Lawrence L. Sutter, Chair

Mary U. Christiansen  
Jonathan E. Dongell

James K. Hicks  
R. Douglas Hooton

Kevin A. MacDonald  
Claudio E. Manissero

Anol K. Mukhopadhyay  
Deepak Ravikumar

*As performance demands of concrete increase, and given recent initiatives to address the sustainability of construction, owners, architects, and engineers are actively seeking alternatives to portland cement for concrete. An alternative cement is intended to be a replacement for portland cement in some applications. In some cases, alternative cements may also be used in combination with portland or blended hydraulic cements. This document covers currently available and emerging alternative cements and is intended to provide information to help guide practitioners seeking to implement alternative cements.*

**Keywords:** alkali-activated fly ash cement; alkali-activated glass cement; alkali-activated slag cement; alkali activation; alternative cements; calcium aluminate cement; calcium sulfoaluminate cement; carbonated calcium silicate cement; durability; functional addition; geopolymers; magnesium oxychloride cement; magnesium phosphate cement; reactive belite cement; specifications; supersulfated cement; sustainability; test method.

### CONTENTS

#### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE, p. 1

- 1.1—Introduction, p. 1
- 1.2—Background, p. 2
- 1.3—Scope, p. 2
- 1.4—Organization of this guide, p. 2

#### CHAPTER 2—DEFINITIONS, p. 3

- 2.1—Definitions, p. 3

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

#### CHAPTER 3—ALTERNATIVE CEMENT PROPERTIES AND APPLICATIONS, p. 3

- 3.1—Available alternative cement technologies, p. 3

#### CHAPTER 4—SELECTED CASE STUDIES, p. 6

- 4.1—Calcium aluminate cement (CAC), p. 7
- 4.2—Calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA), p. 8
- 4.3—Activated fly ash and slag, p. 8

#### CHAPTER 5—GUIDELINES FOR USE, p. 10

- 5.1—Mixture design, p. 10
- 5.2—Construction, p. 11
- 5.3—Design properties, p. 12

#### CHAPTER 6—SUMMARY, p. 12

#### CHAPTER 7—REFERENCES, p. 12

- Authored documents, p. 12

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

#### 1.1—Introduction

This guide is intended as an introduction for engineers, architects, contractors, and owners who are interested in using an alternative cement on a project, but lack experience with these materials. This guide assumes the reader has experience with conventional concrete materials and construction, and is seeking knowledge on how these new cement technologies compare to portland cement when used in concrete.

The alternative cement properties summarized in this document are those reported for properly designed and placed alternative cement concretes. As with all types of concrete, material quality, mixture design, curing method-

ACI ITG-10R-18 was adopted and published April 2018.

Copyright © 2018, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

ology, and placement technique are all crucial to obtaining the desired properties; the examples presented are not universally applicable but are illustrative of what to expect from specific alternative cements.

## 1.2—Background

Portland cement concrete (PCC) is unrivaled when it comes to versatility and durability and, as such, is the most widely used man-made material on Earth. Countless civil engineering and architectural structures use concrete in their construction, including roads, bridges, public water and sanitary systems, and buildings. Almost 200 years of experience has resulted in a solid, practical understanding of how PCC works, and with the correct mixture design and materials, practitioners can manipulate concrete to easily meet the needs of society.

As engineers, architects, and contractors continue to push the bounds of what is possible in design and construction, materials must evolve as well, which is where alternative cements come in. To serve as an alternative to portland cement, a binder technology needs to offer demonstrable improvements when considering factors such as environmental impact, life-cycle cost (LCC), and performance. The use of an alternative cement is motivated by one or more of three main drivers:

1. Reduced cost—both initial cost and LCC
2. Reduced environmental impact
3. The need for specific properties unattainable with PCC

Improving the sustainability of construction is clearly one force driving the emergence of alternative cement concrete technologies. Increasingly, construction alternatives are being considered in terms of their LCC, in addition to or in place of initial cost. When it comes to LCC determination, the industry has considerable experience with PCC and can estimate the individual costs that contribute to the LCC. For some alternative cements, the industry still needs to develop that experience and establish life-cycle costs. A life-cycle cost is strongly intertwined with the material's functional performance and is inextricably linked to its durability. Given their recent development, long-term durability data are not available for all alternative cements.

As is the case with all manufacturing processes, portland cement production has environmental impacts that represent a cost to society. Chief among these are: 1) the energy-intensive nature of producing portland cement; and 2) the inherent release of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the production process. A key advantage of alternative cement production is a significant reduction in environmental impact as compared to portland cement. The specific nature of the reduction varies between different alternative cement technologies. [Burris et al. \(2015\)](#) states manufacture of the alternative cements described in this document results in anywhere from 44 to 84 percent of the CO<sub>2</sub> associated with the production of an equal mass of portland cement.

Apart from sustainability considerations, in some applications an alternative cement concrete may offer enhanced functional performance when compared to PCC, and in those cases, the market value of the alternative cement concrete

may exceed that of PCC. In most cases, however, initial costs should be similar for an alternative cement to be considered for use. More importantly, for alternative cements to replace PCC in less-specialized applications, functional equivalence with PCC is required. Functional equivalence is required due to the empirical nature of the concrete design and construction environment. Demonstrated performance, both in the laboratory and in practice, is required to ensure that life-safety considerations are met when using alternative cement concrete in place of PCC. Demonstrating this performance to specifiers has been a challenge for alternative cement producers largely due to the lack of a clear testing protocol or, in some cases, the lack of applicable tests.

Another aspect of functional performance is constructability. To achieve the desired hardened properties, the concrete must be properly placed and cured in the field. This aspect limits the application of some alternative cements that require specific non-atmospheric curing regimes such as a CO<sub>2</sub>-rich curing environment, or elevated temperatures. For other alternative cements, rapid setting and rapid strength gain, as compared to PCC, are principal value-added aspects of their performance. Constructability also depends on the availability of knowledgeable people to both place and adjust the mixture designs to achieve the desired performance. Therefore, it is necessary to have a workforce that is trained and able to proportion, test, mix, place, and cure these new materials.

## 1.3—Scope

This guide covers both currently available and emerging alternative cements, and is intended to aid people interested in using alternative cements in a project. A brief summary of each of the alternative cement technologies is provided, as well as selected case studies and a guideline for use that addresses mixture design as well as construction and design properties. References made to portland cement and portland cement production are for comparison purposes only. An in-depth discussion of portland cement is not within the scope of this guide.

## 1.4—Organization of this guide

This guide is organized into five chapters; a synopsis of each is presented below.

**Chapter 1—Introduction and Scope:** Describes the need for alternative cements and identifies the scope and objectives of this guide.

**Chapter 2—Notation and Definitions:** Defines terminology unique to alternative cements or not currently defined in *ACI Concrete Terminology*.

**Chapter 3—Alternative Cement Properties and Applications:** Summarizes the alternative cement technologies currently considered commercially available, as well as those in development.

**Chapter 4—Selected Case Studies:** Provides selected case studies to help illustrate how some of these materials have been used successfully.

**Chapter 5—Guidelines for Use:** Provides guidelines for issues to consider when deciding to use an alternative