

# Anchorage of Large High-Strength Headed Reinforcing Bars

# **Ali Banaeipour**

#### March 30, 2021





# **Presentation Outline**







# Development Length and Dimensional Restriction







# **Standard Hooked Bars**

Use hooked bars (180 and 90 degrees)







# **Problem with Hooked Bars**



Source: https://www.sefindia.org/forum/files/beam\_column\_joint\_2\_321.jpg





# **Headed Bars**

• Why headed bars?







# **Hooked Bars Vs. Headed Bars**







### **Headed Bars**







## ACI 318-14: Hooked Bars







## ACI 318-14: Headed Bars





# **Previous KU Studies: Scope**







# **Previous KU Studies**

• 2012 to 2016:300 Hooked bar and 202 Headed bar Simulated Beam-Column Joint Specimens







- Hooked and headed bars behave a lot alike
- For the same embedment length, headed bars provide a higher anchorage force than hooked bars
- Closely spaced hooked and headed bars are weaker, individually, than widely spaced hooked and headed bars
- Hooked bars with 90° and 180° degree bends have similar anchorage strengths







# **KU Study Findings**

- ACI 318-14 provisions overestimate contribution of concrete strength to anchorage strength with  $\sqrt{f_c'}$  term; it can be better represented by  $f_c'^{0.25}$
- Confining reinforcement increases anchorage strength of hooked and headed bars
- Descriptive equations for anchorage of hooked and headed bars based on tests on No. 5, No. 8, and No. 11 bars





## **ACI 318-19 – Development Length**

Hooks 
$$\ell_{dh} = \left(\frac{f_y \psi_e \psi_r \psi_o \psi_c}{55\lambda \sqrt{f_c'}}\right) d_b^{1.5}$$

Heads 
$$\ell_{dt} = \left(\frac{f_y \psi_e \psi_p \psi_o \psi_c}{75\sqrt{f_c'}}\right) d_b^{1.5}$$





# **Current KU Study: Scope**

## Expand the available data on the anchorage strength of No. 14 and No. 18 hooked and headed bars



# Use the experimental results to propose design criteria for No. 14 and No. 18 bars





# Beam Column Joints: Specimen Design

- Simulated beam-column joints same as the previous studies and designed so the anchorage failure occurs in the joint region
- Key Variables
  - Embedment length
- Number and spacing of bars (widely and closely spaced)
  - Area of confining reinf. in the joint region
  - Bar size
  - Concrete strength: 5 to 15 ksi
  - Stress of test bars up to 150 ksi





# **Beam Column Joints: Testing Frame**









# **Beam Column Joints: Testing Frame**







- ≻No. 14 Bar Specimens
- Concrete strength: 5-13 ksi
- Bar stress: up to 150 ksi
- Bar spacing: 18 in. (widely-spaced)
- Embedment length: 22.7 to 35.8 in.
- Confining reinforcement: with and without





> No. 14 Headed Bar Specimens – Results

Specimen	<i>f′<sub>c</sub></i> (psi)	Confining Reinforcement	T/T <sub>h</sub>
14-3	8510	Without	1.04
14-4	7700	With	1.00
14-15	6190	Without	1.07
14-16B	7500	With	0.85
14-16C	6470	With	0.91
		Average:	0.97





> No. 14 Hooked Bar Specimens – Results

Specimen	<i>f′<sub>c</sub></i> (psi)	Confining Reinforcement	T/T <sub>h</sub>
H14-1	12980	Without	1.09
H14-2	13010	With	1.19
H14-3	8100	Without	1.05
H14-4	7570	With	0.91
		Average:	1.06





#### > No. 14 Bar Specimens – Failure Mode







#### > No. 14 Bar Specimens – Failure Mode







- Finish No. 14 hooked and headed bar tests with widely and closely spaced bars
- Design and fabricate No. 18 hooked and headed bar specimens





# Summary

- The need for obtaining experimental data on large-diameter hooked and headed bars
- Current study on the anchorage strength of No. 14 and No. 18 bars and the results matching fairly close to previous tests
- The future plan for the ongoing study







# **Thank You!**



